

25th WIEN2k Workshop
Boston College – 2018




Relativistic effects **&** **magnetism** **in WIEN2k**



Xavier Rocquefelte
Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes
(UMR 6226) Université de Rennes 1, FRANCE





25th WIEN2k Workshop Boston College – 2018



Talk constructed using the following documents:

Slides of:

Robert Laskowski, Stefaan Cottenier, Peter Blaha and Georg Madsen

Notes of:


- *Pavel Novak (Calculation of spin-orbit coupling)*
http://www.wien2k.at/reg_user/textbooks/
- *Robert Laskowski (Non-collinear magnetic version of WIEN2k package)*

Books:

- *WIEN2k userguide, ISBN 3-9501031-1-2*
- *Electronic Structure: Basic Theory and Practical Methods, Richard M. Martin*
ISBN 0 521 78285 6
- *Relativistic Electronic Structure Theory. Part 1. Fundamentals, Peter Schewerdtfeger, ISBN 0 444 51249 7*

web:

- <http://www2.slac.stanford.edu/vvc/theory/relativity.html>
- *wienlist digest* - http://www.wien2k.at/reg_user/index.html
- *wikipedia ...*



Few words about Special Theory of Relativity


Light

Composed of photons (no mass)

Speed of light = constant

*Atomic units:
 $\hbar = m_e = e = 1$*

$c \approx 137 \text{ au}$



Few words about Special Theory of Relativity

Light

Composed of photons (no mass)


Speed of light = constant


*Atomic units:
 $\hbar = m_e = e = 1$*

$c \approx 137$ au

Matter

Composed of atoms (mass)





Few words about Special Theory of Relativity

Light

Composed of photons (no mass)


Speed of light = constant

Atomic units:
 $\hbar = m_e = e = 1$

$c \approx 137 \text{ au}$

Matter

Composed of atoms (mass)



Lorentz Factor (measure of the relativistic effects)


$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}} \geq 1$$

Relativistic mass: $M = \gamma m$ (m : rest mass)

Momentum: $p = \gamma m v = M v$


Total energy: $E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4$

$$E = \gamma m c^2 = M c^2$$



Definition of a relativistic particle (Bohr model)

Lorentz factor (γ)



Speed of the 1s electron (Bohr model):




$$v_e \propto \frac{Z}{n} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H: v_e(1s) = 1 \text{ au} \rightarrow \gamma = 1.00003 \\ Au: v_e(1s) = 79 \text{ au} \rightarrow \gamma = 1.22 \end{array} \right.$$

Details for Au atom:

$$v_e(1s) = \frac{79}{137} c = 0.58c$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{v_e}{c}\right)^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (0.58)^2}} = 1.22$$

➡ 1s electron of Au atom = relativistic particle $M_e(1s-Au) = 1.22m_e$




Relativistic effects



1) The mass-velocity correction

Relativistic increase in the mass of an electron with its velocity (when $v_e \rightarrow c$)



Relativistic effects



1) The mass-velocity correction


Relativistic increase in the mass of an electron with its velocity (when $v_e \rightarrow c$)

2) The Darwin term

It has no classical relativistic analogue

Due to small and irregular motions of an electron about its mean position (*Zitterbewegung**)

**Analysis of Erwin Schrödinger of the wave packet solutions of the Dirac equation for relativistic electrons in free space: The interference between positive and negative energy states produces what appears to be a fluctuation (at the speed of light) of the position of an electron around the median.*



Relativistic effects



1) *The mass-velocity correction*

Relativistic increase in the mass of an electron with its velocity (when $v_e \rightarrow c$)


2) *The Darwin term*

It has no classical relativistic analogue

Due to small and irregular motions of an electron about its mean position (*Zitterbewegung*)

3) *The spin-orbit coupling*

It is the interaction of the spin magnetic moment (s) of an electron with the magnetic field induced by its own orbital motion (l)



Relativistic effects



1) *The mass-velocity correction*

Relativistic increase in the mass of an electron with its velocity (when $v_e \rightarrow c$)

2) *The Darwin term*

It has no classical relativistic analogue


Due to small and irregular motions of an electron about its mean position (*Zitterbewegung*)

3) *The spin-orbit coupling*

It is the interaction of the spin magnetic moment (s) of an electron with the magnetic field induced by its own orbital motion (l)

4) *Indirect relativistic effect*

The change of the electrostatic potential induced by relativity is an indirect effect of the core electrons on the valence electrons



One electron radial Schrödinger equation

HARTREE ATOMIC UNITS

$$H_s \Psi = \left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + V \right] \Psi = \varepsilon \Psi$$

INTERNATIONAL UNITS


$$H_s \Psi = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V \right] \Psi = \varepsilon \Psi$$

Atomic units:

$$\hbar = m_e = e = 1$$

$$1/(4\pi\epsilon_0) = 1$$

$$c = 1/\alpha \approx 137 \text{ au}$$



One electron radial Schrödinger equation

HARTREE ATOMIC UNITS

$$H_S \Psi = \left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + V \right] \Psi = \epsilon \Psi$$

$$V = -\frac{Z}{r}$$

*In a spherically
symmetric potential*

INTERNATIONAL UNITS

$$H_S \Psi = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V \right] \Psi = \epsilon \Psi$$

$$V = -\frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}$$

$$\Psi_{n,l,m} = R_{n,l}(r) Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi)$$


$$\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[\sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right] + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2(\theta)} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} \right)$$

Atomic units:

$$\hbar = m_e = e = 1$$

$$1/(4\pi\epsilon_0) = 1$$

$$c = 1/\alpha \approx 137 \text{ au}$$



One electron radial Schrödinger equation

HARTREE ATOMIC UNITS

$$H_S \Psi = \left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + V \right] \Psi = \epsilon \Psi$$

$$V = -\frac{Z}{r}$$

*In a spherically
symmetric potential*

INTERNATIONAL UNITS

$$H_S \Psi = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V \right] \Psi = \epsilon \Psi$$

$$V = -\frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}$$


$$\Psi_{n,l,m} = R_{n,l}(r) Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi)$$

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[\sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right] + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2(\theta)} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} \right)$$



$$-\frac{1}{2r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dR_{n,l}}{dr} \right) + \left[V + \frac{l(l+1)}{2r^2} \right] R_{n,l} = \epsilon R_{n,l}$$

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dR_{n,l}}{dr} \right) + \left[V + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] R_{n,l} = \epsilon R_{n,l}$$




Dirac Hamiltonian: a brief description

Dirac relativistic Hamiltonian provides a quantum mechanical description of electrons, consistent with the theory of special relativity.

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4$$

$$H_D \Psi = \epsilon \Psi \quad \text{with} \quad H_D = c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m_e c^2 + V$$



Dirac Hamiltonian: a brief description

Dirac relativistic Hamiltonian provides a quantum mechanical description of electrons, consistent with the theory of special relativity.

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4$$

$$H_D \Psi = \epsilon \Psi \quad \text{with} \quad H_D = c \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p} + \beta m_e c^2 + V$$

Electrostatic potential

Momentum operator

Rest mass


$$\alpha_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_k \\ \sigma_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\beta_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2x2) unit and zero matrices


$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2x2) Pauli spin matrices



Dirac equation: H_D and Ψ are 4-dimensional

Ψ is a four-component single-particle wave function that describes spin-1/2 particles.




Φ and χ are time-independent two-component spinors describing the spatial and spin-1/2 degrees of freedom

➔ Leads to a set of coupled equations for Φ and χ :

$$c(\sigma \cdot \vec{p})\chi = (\epsilon - V - m_e c^2)\phi$$

$$c(\sigma \cdot \vec{p})\phi = (\epsilon - V + m_e c^2)\chi$$



Dirac equation: H_D and Ψ are 4-dimensional

→ For a free particle (i.e. $V = 0$):

Solution in the slow particle limit ($p=0$)

Non-relativistic limit decouples Ψ_1 from Ψ_2 and Ψ_3 from Ψ_4


$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon - m_e c^2 & 0 & -\hat{p}_z & -(\hat{p}_x - i\hat{p}_y) \\ 0 & \varepsilon - m_e c^2 & -(\hat{p}_z + i\hat{p}_y) & \hat{p}_z \\ -\hat{p}_z & -(\hat{p}_z - i\hat{p}_y) & \varepsilon + m_e c^2 & 0 \\ -(\hat{p}_z + i\hat{p}_y) & \hat{p}_z & 0 & \varepsilon + m_e c^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \\ \Psi_3 \\ \Psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Particles: up & down

$$\begin{matrix} \uparrow & \begin{pmatrix} \phi^\uparrow \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \downarrow & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi^\downarrow \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} \quad m_e c^2$$

Antiparticles: up & down

$$\begin{matrix} \uparrow & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \chi^\uparrow \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \downarrow & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \chi^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} \quad -m_e c^2$$



Dirac equation: H_D and Ψ are 4-dimensional

→ For a free particle (i.e. $V = 0$):

Solution in the slow particle limit ($p=0$)

Non-relativistic limit decouples Ψ_1 from Ψ_2 and Ψ_3 from Ψ_4

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon - m_e c^2 & 0 & -\hat{p}_z & -(\hat{p}_x - i\hat{p}_y) \\ 0 & \varepsilon - m_e c^2 & -(\hat{p}_z + i\hat{p}_y) & \hat{p}_z \\ -\hat{p}_z & -(\hat{p}_z - i\hat{p}_y) & \varepsilon + m_e c^2 & 0 \\ -(\hat{p}_z + i\hat{p}_y) & \hat{p}_z & 0 & \varepsilon + m_e c^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \\ \Psi_3 \\ \Psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Particles: up & down

$$\uparrow m_e c^2, \begin{pmatrix} \phi^\uparrow \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow m_e c^2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi^\downarrow \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Antiparticles: up & down

$$\uparrow -m_e c^2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \chi^\uparrow \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow -m_e c^2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \chi^\downarrow \end{pmatrix}$$

→ For a spherical potential $V(r)$:

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{n\kappa}(r) Y_{\kappa\sigma} \\ -i f_{n\kappa}(r) Y_{\kappa\sigma} \end{pmatrix}$$


$g_{n\kappa}$ and $f_{n\kappa}$ are Radial functions

$Y_{\kappa\sigma}$ are angular-spin functions

$$j = l + s/2$$

$$\kappa = -s(j + 1/2)$$

$$s = +1, -1$$




Dirac equation in a spherical potential

→ For a spherical potential $V(r)$:

The resulting equations for the radial functions ($g_{n\kappa}$ and $f_{n\kappa}$) are simplified if we define:

$$\text{Energy: } \varepsilon' = \varepsilon - m_e c^2 \qquad \text{Radially varying mass: } M_e(r) = m_e + \frac{\varepsilon' - V(r)}{2c^2}$$



Dirac equation in a spherical potential

→ For a spherical potential $V(r)$:

The resulting equations for the radial functions ($g_{n\kappa}$ and $f_{n\kappa}$) are simplified if we define:

$$\text{Energy: } \varepsilon' = \varepsilon - m_e c^2 \quad \text{Radially varying mass: } M_e(r) = m_e + \frac{\varepsilon' - V(r)}{2c^2}$$

Then the coupled equations can be written in the form of the radial eq.:


$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M_e} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dg_{n\kappa}}{dr} \right) + \left[V + \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_e} \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] g_{n\kappa} - \underbrace{\frac{\hbar^2}{4M_e^2 c^2} \frac{dV}{dr} \frac{dg_{n\kappa}}{dr}}_{\text{Darwin term}} - \underbrace{\frac{\hbar^2}{4M_e^2 c^2} \frac{dV}{dr} \frac{(1+\kappa)}{r} g_{n\kappa}}_{\text{Spin-orbit coupling}} = \varepsilon' g_{n\kappa}$$

Mass-velocity effect
Darwin term
Spin-orbit coupling

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dR_{n,l}}{dr} \right) + \left[V + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] R_{n,l} = \varepsilon R_{n,l}$$

← One electron radial Schrödinger equation in a spherical potential

Note that: $\kappa(\kappa+1) = l(l+1)$



Dirac equation in a spherical potential

→ For a spherical potential $V(r)$:

The resulting equations for the radial functions ($g_{n\kappa}$ and $f_{n\kappa}$) are simplified if we define:

$$\text{Energy: } \varepsilon' = \varepsilon - m_e c^2 \quad \text{Radially varying mass: } M_e(r) = m_e + \frac{\varepsilon' - V(r)}{2c^2}$$

Then the coupled equations can be written in the form of the radial eq.:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M_e} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dg_{n\kappa}}{dr} \right) + \left[V + \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_e} \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] g_{n\kappa} - \underbrace{\frac{\hbar^2}{4M_e^2 c^2} \frac{dV}{dr} \frac{dg_{n\kappa}}{dr}}_{\text{Darwin term}} - \underbrace{\frac{\hbar^2}{4M_e^2 c^2} \frac{dV}{dr} \frac{(1+\kappa)}{r} g_{n\kappa}}_{\text{Spin-orbit coupling}} = \varepsilon' g_{n\kappa}$$

and


$$\frac{df_{n\kappa}}{dr} = \frac{1}{\hbar c} (V - \varepsilon') g_{n\kappa} + \frac{(\kappa - 1)}{r} f_{n\kappa}$$

→ Due to **spin-orbit coupling**, Ψ is not an eigenfunction of spin (s) and angular orbital moment (l).

Instead the good quantum numbers are j and κ

Note that: $\kappa(\kappa+1) = l(l+1)$

No approximation has been made so far



Dirac equation in a spherical potential


→ *Scalar relativistic approximation*

*Approximation that the spin-orbit term is small
 ⇒ neglect SOC in radial functions (and treat it by perturbation theory)*

No SOC ⇒ Approximate radial functions: $g_{n\kappa} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_{nl}$ $f_{n\kappa} \rightarrow \tilde{f}_{nl}$

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M_e} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{d\tilde{g}_{nl}}{dr} \right) + \left[V + \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_e} \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] \tilde{g}_{nl} - \frac{\hbar^2}{4M_e^2 c^2} \frac{dV}{dr} \frac{d\tilde{g}_{nl}}{dr} = \epsilon' \tilde{g}_{nl}$$

and $\tilde{f}_{nl} = \frac{\hbar}{2M_e c} \frac{d\tilde{g}_{nl}}{dr}$ **with the normalization condition:** $\int (\tilde{g}_{nl}^2 + \tilde{f}_{nl}^2) r^2 dr = 1$



Dirac equation in a spherical potential

→ Scalar relativistic approximation

*Approximation that the spin-orbit term is small
 ⇒ neglect SOC in radial functions (and treat it by perturbation theory)*

No SOC ⇒ Approximate radial functions: $g_{n\kappa} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_{nl}$ $f_{n\kappa} \rightarrow \tilde{f}_{nl}$

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M_e} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{d\tilde{g}_{nl}}{dr} \right) + \left[V + \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_e} \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] \tilde{g}_{nl} - \frac{\hbar^2}{4M_e^2 c^2} \frac{dV}{dr} \frac{d\tilde{g}_{nl}}{dr} = \varepsilon' \tilde{g}_{nl}$$

and $\tilde{f}_{nl} = \frac{\hbar}{2M_e c} \frac{d\tilde{g}_{nl}}{dr}$ with the normalization condition: $\int (\tilde{g}_{nl}^2 + \tilde{f}_{nl}^2) r^2 dr = 1$

→ The four-component wave function is now written as:

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_{nl}(r) Y_{lm} \\ -i \tilde{f}_{nl}(r) Y_{lm} \end{pmatrix}$$

Inclusion of the spin-orbit coupling in "second variation" (on the large component only)


$$H\tilde{\Psi} = \varepsilon\tilde{\Psi} + H_{so}\tilde{\Psi}$$

$\tilde{\Phi}$ is a pure spin state

$\tilde{\chi}$ is a mixture of up and down spin states

with

$$H_{so} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4M_e^2 c^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV}{dr} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{l} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$




Relativistic effects in a solid


→ For a molecule or a solid:

Relativistic effects originate deep inside the core.


It is then sufficient to solve the relativistic equations in a spherical atomic geometry (inside the atomic spheres of WIEN2k).

→ *Justify an implementation of the relativistic effects only inside the muffin-tin atomic spheres*







Implementation in WIEN2k




SOC: Spin orbit coupling




Implementation in WIEN2k



SOC: Spin orbit coupling



Implementation in WIEN2k: core electrons



*Core states: fully occupied
→ spin-compensated Dirac
equation (include SOC)*

Atomic sphere (RMT) Region

Core
electrons

« Fully »
relativistic

Spin-compensated
Dirac equation


*For spin-polarized potential,
spin up and spin down are calculated
separately, the density is averaged
according to the **occupation** number
specified in case.inc file.*

		$j=l+s/2$		$\kappa=-s(j+1/2)$		occupation	
	l	$s=-1$	$s=+1$	$s=-1$	$s=+1$	$s=-1$	$s=+1$
s	0		1/2		-1		2
p	1	1/2	3/2	1	-2	2	4
d	2	3/2	5/2	2	-3	4	6
f	3	5/2	7/2	3	-4	6	8


case.inc for Au atom

```

17 0.00 0
1,-1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
2,-1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
2, 1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
2,-2,4 ( n,κ,occup)
3,-1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
3, 1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
3,-2,4 ( n,κ,occup)
3, 2,4 ( n,κ,occup)
3,-3,6 ( n,κ,occup)
4,-1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
4, 1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
4,-2,4 ( n,κ,occup)
4, 2,4 ( n,κ,occup)
4,-3,6 ( n,κ,occup)
5,-1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
4, 3,6 ( n,κ,occup)
4,-4,8 ( n,κ,occup)
0
  
```



Implementation in WIEN2k: core electrons



*Core states: fully occupied
→ spin-compensated Dirac
equation (include SOC)*

Atomic sphere (RMT) Region

*Core
electrons*

*« Fully »
relativistic*

*Spin-compensated
Dirac equation*

*For spin-polarized potential,
spin up and spin down are calculated
separately, the density is averaged
according to the **occupation number**
specified in case.inc file.*


	l	$j=l+s/2$		$\kappa=-s(j+1/2)$		occupation	
		s=-1	s=+1	s=-1	s=+1	s=-1	s=+1
s	0		1/2		-1		2
p	1	1/2	3/2	1	-2	2	4
d	2	3/2	5/2	2	-3	4	6
f	3	5/2	7/2	3	-4	6	8

case.inc for Au atom

```

17 0.00 0
1s1/2 → 1,-1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
2s1/2 → 2,-1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
2p1/2 → 2, 1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
2p3/2 → 2,-2,4 ( n,κ,occup)
3s1/2 → 3,-1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
3p1/2 → 3, 1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
3p3/2 → 3,-2,4 ( n,κ,occup)
3d3/2 → 3, 2,4 ( n,κ,occup)
3d5/2 → 3,-3,6 ( n,κ,occup)
4s1/2 → 4,-1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
4p1/2 → 4, 1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
4p3/2 → 4,-2,4 ( n,κ,occup)
4d3/2 → 4, 2,4 ( n,κ,occup)
4d5/2 → 4,-3,6 ( n,κ,occup)
5s1/2 → 5,-1,2 ( n,κ,occup)
4f5/2 → 4, 3,6 ( n,κ,occup)
4f7/2 → 4,-4,8 ( n,κ,occup)
0


```



Implementation in WIEN2k: valence electrons

*Valence electrons INSIDE atomic spheres are treated within **scalar relativistic** approximation [1] if **RELA** is specified in case.struct file (by default).*

```
Title
F   LATTICE,NONEQUIV.ATOMS:  1 225 Fm-3m
MODE OF CALC=RELA unit=bohr
      7.670000  7.670000  7.670000 90.000000 90.000000 90.000000
ATOM   1: X=0.00000000 Y=0.00000000 Z=0.00000000
      MULT= 1      ISPLIT= 2
Au1      NPT=  781  R0=0.00000500 RMT=    2.6000    Z: 79.0
LOCAL ROT MATRIX:    1.00000000  0.00000000  0.00000000
                      0.00000000  1.00000000  0.00000000
                      0.00000000  0.00000000  1.00000000
48      NUMBER OF SYMMETRY OPERATIONS
```




Atomic sphere (RMT) Region

*Valence
electrons*

*Scalar relativistic
(no SOC)*

- ◆ *no κ dependency of the wave function, (n,l,s) are still good quantum numbers*
- ◆ *all relativistic effects are included except SOC*
- ◆ *small component enters normalization and calculation of charge inside spheres*
- ◆ *augmentation with large component only*
- ◆ *SOC can be included in « second variation »*

*Valence electrons in interstitial region
are treated classically*



Implementation in WIEN2k: valence electrons

→ *SOC is added in a second variation (lapwso):*


- First diagonalization (lapw1): $H_1 \Psi_1 = \varepsilon_1 \Psi_1$
- Second diagonalization (lapwso): $(H_1 + H_{SO}) \Psi = \varepsilon \Psi$

The second equation is expanded in the basis of first eigenvectors (Ψ_1)

$$\sum_i^N \left(\delta_{ij} \varepsilon_1^j + \langle \Psi_1^j | H_{SO} | \Psi_1^i \rangle \right) \langle \Psi_1^i | \Psi \rangle = \varepsilon \langle \Psi_1^j | \Psi \rangle$$

sum include both up/down spin states

→ *N is much smaller than the basis size in lapw1*




Atomic sphere (RMT) Region

Valence electrons

Scalar relativistic
(no SOC)

Possibility to add SOC
(2nd variational)



Implementation in WIEN2k: valence electrons

→ *SOC is added in a second variation (lapwso):*


- First diagonalization (lapw1): $H_1 \Psi_1 = \varepsilon_1 \Psi_1$
- Second diagonalization (lapwso): $(H_1 + H_{SO}) \Psi = \varepsilon \Psi$

The second equation is expanded in the basis of first eigenvectors (Ψ_1)

$$\sum_i^N \left(\delta_{ij} \varepsilon_1^j + \langle \Psi_1^j | H_{SO} | \Psi_1^i \rangle \right) \langle \Psi_1^i | \Psi \rangle = \varepsilon \langle \Psi_1^j | \Psi \rangle$$

sum include both up/down spin states

→ *N is much smaller than the basis size in lapw1*



Atomic sphere (RMT) Region

Valence electrons

Scalar relativistic
(no SOC)


Possibility to add SOC
(2nd variational)

♦ SOC is active only inside atomic spheres, only spherical potential (V_{MT}) is taken into account, in the polarized case spin up and down parts are averaged.

♦ Eigenstates are not pure spin states, SOC mixes up and down spin states

♦ Off-diagonal term of the spin-density matrix is ignored. It means that in each SCF cycle the magnetization is projected on the chosen direction (from case.inso)

V_{MT} : Muffin-tin potential (spherically symmetric)



Controlling spin-orbit coupling in WIEN2k

◆ Do a regular scalar-relativistic "scf" calculation

◆ save_lapw

◆ initso_lapw

• case.inso:


```
WFFIL
 4  1  0          llmax,ipr,kpot
-10.0000  1.50000 emin,emax (output energy window)
  0.  0.  1.      direction of magnetization (lattice vectors)
NX          number of atoms for which RLO is added
NX1    -4.97      0.0005  atom number,e-lo,de (case.in1), repeat NX times
0 0 0 0 0      number of atoms for which SO is switch off; atoms
```

• case.in1(c):

```
(...)
2    0.30      0.005 CONT 1
0    0.30      0.000 CONT 1
K-VECTORS FROM UNIT:4    -9.0    4.5    65    emin/emax/nband
```


• symmetso (for spin-polarized calculations only)

◆ run(sp)_lapw -so ← -so switch specifies that scf cycles will include SOC



Controlling spin-orbit coupling in WIEN2k

→ *The w2web interface is helping you*



Execution

Utilities

- show dayfile
- show STDOUT
- analysis
- save_lapw
- restore_lapw
- initso_lapw
- view structure
- stop SCF
- stop mini
- full diag.
- core-superposition
- inm_vresp
- in0_grr
- edit .machines
- testpara
- testpara1
- testpara2

Tasks

Files

Session Mgmt.

Configuration

Usersguide

Session: [\[Au-fcc\]](#)
/u/xrocquet/DATA/PREPA-PENNSTATE/Au-fcc

Initialization of spin-orbit calculations

Au-fcc.in2c has been created


Select magnetization direction, RLOs, SO on/off

set larger EMAX in energy window

System not spinpolarized


Non-spin polarized case





Controlling spin-orbit coupling in WIEN2k

→ *The w2web interface is helping you*



Execution

Utilities

- show dayfile
- show STDOUT
- analysis
- save_lapw
- restore_lapw
- initso_lapw
- view structure
- stop SCF
- stop mini
- full diag.
- core-superposition
- inm_vresp
- in0_grr
- edit .machines
- testpara
- testpara1
- testpara2

Tasks

Files

Session Mgmt.

Configuration

Usersguide

Session: [\[Co-hcp\]](#)

/u/xrocquef/DATA/PREPA-PENNSTATE/Co-hcp

Initialization of spin-orbit calculations

Co-hcp.in2c has been created

Select magnetization direction, RLOs, SO on/off

set larger EMAX in energy window

This is a spin-polarized system. SO may reduce symmetry.

Determines symmetry in spinpolarized case

view Co-hcp.outsymso

A new setup for SO calculations has been created (_so). If you commit the next step will create new Co-hcp.struct, in1, in2c, inc, clmsum/up/dn files. PLEASE "save_lapw" any previous calculation.


The number of symmetry operations may have changed, then you must run KGEN.

Generate k-mesh with proper SO-symmetry

view Co-hcp.klist


← *Spin polarized case*





Relativistic effects in the solid: Illustration

hcp-Be
Z = 4




LDA overbinding (7%)

No difference NREL/SREL


Bulk modulus:

- NREL: 131.4 GPa
- SREL: 131.5 GPa
- Exp.: 130 GPa



Relativistic effects in the solid: Illustration

hcp-Be
Z = 4




LDA overbinding (7%)

No difference NREL/SREL

Bulk modulus:

- NREL: 131.4 GPa
- SREL: 131.5 GPa
- Exp.: 130 GPa

hcp-Os
Z = 76




LDA overbinding (2%)

Clear difference NREL/SREL


Bulk modulus:

- NREL: 344 GPa
- SREL: 447 GPa
- Exp.: 462 GPa




Relativistic effects in the solid: Illustration

hcp-Be
Z = 4



hcp-Os
Z = 76




◆ **Scalar-relativistic (SREL):**

- LDA overbinding (2%)
- Bulk modulus: 447 GPa

+ **spin-orbit coupling (SREL+SO):**

- LDA overbinding (1%)
- Bulk modulus: 436 GPa

⇒ **Exp. Bulk modulus: 462 GPa**



Relativistic effects



1) The mass-velocity correction

Relativistic increase in the mass of an electron with its velocity (when $v_e \rightarrow c$)

2) The Darwin term

It has no classical relativistic analogue


Due to small and irregular motions of an electron about its mean position (*Zitterbewegung*)

3) The spin-orbit coupling


It is the interaction of the spin magnetic moment (s) of an electron with the magnetic field induced by its own orbital motion (l)

4) Indirect relativistic effect


The change of the electrostatic potential induced by relativity is an indirect effect of the core electrons on the valence electrons



(1) Relativistic orbital contraction




Radius of the 1s orbit (Bohr model):




$$r(1s) = \frac{n^2 a_0}{Z} \quad \text{AND} \quad a_0 = \frac{\hbar}{m_e c \alpha} = 1 \text{ bohr}$$

$$r(1s) = \frac{1}{79} = 0.013 \text{ bohr}$$

Atomic units:
 $\hbar = m_e = e = 1$
 $c = 1/\alpha \approx 137 \text{ au}$



(1) Relativistic orbital contraction



Radius of the 1s orbit (Bohr model):



$$r(1s) = \frac{n^2 a_0}{Z} \quad \text{AND} \quad a_0 = \frac{\hbar}{mc\alpha} = 1 \text{ bohr}$$


$$r(1s) = \frac{1}{79} = 0.013 \text{ bohr}$$

In Au atom, the relativistic mass (M) of the 1s electron is 22% larger than the rest mass (m)


$$r(1s) = \frac{n^2}{Z} \frac{a_0}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{79} \frac{1}{1.22} = 0.010 \text{ bohr}$$

$$M = \gamma \cdot m_e = 1.22 m_e$$

$$a_0[RELA] = \frac{\hbar}{M_e c \alpha} = \frac{a_0}{\gamma}$$



(1) Relativistic orbital contraction




$$v_e(6s) = \frac{Z}{n} = \frac{79}{6} = 13.17 = 0.096c$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{v_e}{c}\right)^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (0.096)^2}} = 1.0046$$


Direct relativistic effect (mass enhancement) → contraction of 0.46% only


However, the relativistic contraction of the 6s orbital is large (>20%)

ns orbitals (with $n > 1$) contract due to orthogonality to 1s





(1) Orbital Contraction: Effect on the energy







(2) Spin-Orbit splitting of p states






(2) Spin-Orbit splitting of p states

◆ Spin-orbit splitting of l-quantum number





◆ $p_{3/2}$ ($\kappa=-2$): nearly same behavior than non-relativistic p-state




(2) Spin-Orbit splitting of p states

◆ Spin-orbit splitting of l-quantum number





- ◆ $p_{1/2}$ ($\kappa=1$): markedly different behavior than non-relativistic p-state
 $g_{\kappa=1}$ is non-zero at nucleus




(2) Spin-Orbit splitting of p states

◆ Spin-orbit splitting of l-quantum number



- ◆ $p_{1/2}$ ($\kappa=1$): markedly different behavior than non-relativistic p-state
 $g_{\kappa=1}$ is non-zero at nucleus





(2) Spin-Orbit splitting of p states


Relativistic
correction (%)

$$\frac{(E_{RELA} - E_{NRELA})}{E_{NRELA}}$$

E_{NRELA}




Scalar-relativistic p-orbital is similar to $p^{3/2}$ wave function, but Ψ does not contain $p^{1/2}$ radial basis function




(3) Orbital expansion: Au(d) states

Higher l-quantum number states expand due to **better shielding** of nucleus charge from contracted s-states

Non-relativistic (NREL)






(3) Orbital expansion: Au(d) states


Higher l-quantum number states expand due to **better shielding** of nucleus charge from contracted s-states


Non-relativistic (NREL)



$$Z_{\text{eff}1} = Z - \sigma(\text{NREL})$$

↓







(3) Orbital expansion: Au(d) states

Higher l-quantum number states expand due to **better shielding** of nucleus charge from contracted s-states


Non-relativistic (NREL)




$$Z_{\text{eff1}} = Z - \sigma(\text{NREL})$$




Relativistic (REL)



$$Z_{\text{eff2}} = Z - \sigma(\text{REL})$$




$$Z_{\text{eff1}} > Z_{\text{eff2}}$$




(3) Orbital expansion: Au(d) states

Higher l-quantum number states expand due to **better shielding** of nucleus charge from contracted s-states


Non-relativistic (NREL)




$$Z_{\text{eff1}} = Z - \sigma(\text{NREL})$$



Relativistic (REL)




$$Z_{\text{eff2}} = Z - \sigma(\text{REL})$$






$$Z_{\text{eff1}} > Z_{\text{eff2}}$$


Indirect relativistic effect



(3) Orbital expansion: Au(d) states

Relativistic
correction (%)







Relativistic effects on the Au energy levels


Relativistic
correction (%)


$$\frac{(E_{RELA} - E_{NRELA})}{E_{NRELA}}$$






Atomic spectra of gold







Ag - Au: the differences (DOS & optical prop.)

Ag



Au







Relativistic semicore states: $p^{1/2}$ orbitals


Electronic structure of fcc Th, SOC with $6p^{1/2}$ local orbital

Energy vs. basis size



DOS with and without $p^{1/2}$





SOC in magnetic systems

→ *SOC couples magnetic moment to the lattice*

◆ direction of the exchange field matters (input in case.inso)


→ *Symmetry operations acts in real and spin space*


◆ number of symmetry operations may be reduced (reflections act differently on spins than on positions)

◆ time inversion is not symmetry operation (do not add an inversion for k-list)

◆ initso_lapw (must be executed) detects new symmetry setting

	Direction of magnetization			
	[100]	[010]	[001]	[110]
1	A	A	A	A
m_x	A	B	B	-
m_y	B	A	B	-
2_z	B	B	A	B





Relativity in WIEN2k: Summary

→ *WIEN2k offers several levels of treating relativity:*

◆ **non-relativistic:** select NREL in case.struct (not recommended)

◆ **standard:** fully-relativistic core, scalar-relativistic valence

mass-velocity and Darwin s-shift, no spin-orbit interaction

◆ **"fully"-relativistic:**

adding SO in "second variation" (using previous eigenstates as basis)

adding $p^{1/2}$ LOs to increase accuracy (caution!!!)

x lapw1 (increase E-max for more eigenvalues, to have

x lapwso basis for lapwso)


x lapw2 -so -c **SO ALWAYS needs complex lapw2 version**

◆ **Non-magnetic systems:**

SO does NOT reduce symmetry. initso_lapw just generates case.inso and case.in2c.

◆ **Magnetic systems:**

symmetso detects proper symmetry and rewrites case.struct/in*/clm*



25th WIEN2k Workshop
Boston College – 2018




Magnetic coupling & Magnetic anisotropy




Xavier Rocquefelte
Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes
(UMR 6226) Université de Rennes 1, FRANCE







INTRODUCTION



Magnetic properties:


- ✓ *Spin-state (high/low)*
- ✓ *Long-range/short-range orders*
- ✓ *Collinear / non-collinear*
- ✓ *Magnetic anisotropy*
- ✓ *Magnetic frustration*
- ✓ *Magnetic exchange*






INTRODUCTION


Paramagnetic
(PM)




Ferromagnetic
(FM) order




Ferrimagnetic
order




Antiferromagnetic
(AFM) order






COLLINEAR MAGNETISM


Magnetic susceptibility of a ferromagnetic (FM) compound






COLLINEAR MAGNETISM


Magnetic susceptibility of a ferromagnetic (FM) compound






COLLINEAR MAGNETISM


Magnetic susceptibility of a ferromagnetic (FM) compound






COLLINEAR MAGNETISM


Magnetic susceptibility of a ferromagnetic (FM) compound






COLLINEAR MAGNETISM


Magnetic susceptibility of a ferromagnetic (FM) compound






COLLINEAR MAGNETISM


Magnetic susceptibility of an antiferromagnetic (AFM) compound






COLLINEAR MAGNETISM


Magnetic susceptibility of an antiferromagnetic (AFM) compound






COLLINEAR MAGNETISM



Magnetic susceptibility of an antiferromagnetic (AFM) compound






COLLINEAR MAGNETISM

Ferromagnetic




Ferromagnetic exchange: $J_F < 0$

Antiferromagnetic




Antiferromagnetic exchange: $J_{AF} > 0$




NON-COLLINEAR MAGNETISM

AFM with 2 subnetworks
having different
magnetization directions





Frustrated AFM

Topologic frustration




FM-AFM competition





NON-COLLINEAR MAGNETISM

AFM with 2 subnetworks
having different
magnetization directions





Frustrated AFM

Topologic frustration

FM-AFM competition

Magnetic moment has a direction in space
→ spin-orbit coupling





Estimation of magnetic coupling parameters


Estimation of J can be done by mapping energy differences onto the general Heisenberg Spin Hamiltonian:

J_{ij} : spin exchange parameter between the spin sites i and j

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \underbrace{\sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j}_{\text{Long-range order}}$$

$J_{ij} > 0 \Rightarrow \text{AFM}$

$J_{ij} < 0 \Rightarrow \text{FM}$



Estimation of magnetic coupling parameters

Estimation of J can be done by mapping energy differences onto the general Heisenberg Spin Hamiltonian:

J_{ij} : spin exchange parameter between the spin sites i and j

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$$


$J_{ij} > 0 \Rightarrow \text{AFM}$

$J_{ij} < 0 \Rightarrow \text{FM}$

Long-range order

$$E_{\alpha} = \langle \alpha | H | \alpha \rangle = E_0 + S^2 \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j$$

S : Spin hold by the magnetic center
 $\sigma_i = \pm 1$ (up or down spin)



Estimation of magnetic coupling parameters

Estimation of J can be done by mapping energy differences onto the general Heisenberg Spin Hamiltonian:

J_{ij} : spin exchange parameter between the spin sites i and j

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$$

$J_{ij} > 0 \Rightarrow \text{AFM}$

$J_{ij} < 0 \Rightarrow \text{FM}$

Long-range order

$$E_\alpha = \langle \alpha | H | \alpha \rangle = E_0 + S^2 \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j$$

S : Spin hold by the magnetic center
 $\sigma_i = \pm 1$ (up or down spin)

Example of a spin-half dimer ($S = \frac{1}{2}$)

To estimate the J_{12} value, 2 total energy calculations are needed:

$$\sigma_1 = +1 \quad \sigma_2 = +1$$



$$E_{\text{FM}} = E_0 + \frac{1}{4} J_{12}$$


$$\sigma_1 = +1 \quad \sigma_2 = -1$$



$$E_{\text{AFM}} = E_0 + -\frac{1}{4} J_{12}$$



$$J_{12} = 2(E_{\text{FM}} - E_{\text{AFM}})$$






Estimation of magnetic coupling parameters

Illustration with NiO: NaCl structure, A-type AFM along [111]

$Ni^{2+} \rightarrow S = 1$

$$E_{\alpha} = \langle \alpha | H | \alpha \rangle = E_0 + S^2 \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j$$





Estimation of magnetic coupling parameters

Illustration with NiO: NaCl structure, A-type AFM along [111]

$$\text{Ni}^{2+} \rightarrow S = 1$$

$$E_{\alpha} = \langle \alpha | H | \alpha \rangle = E_0 + S^2 \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j$$




2 inequivalent Ni sites in the rhombohedral unit cell (S.G. R-3m)


J: magnetic coupling defined by $\text{Ni}_1\text{-O-Ni}_2$ path (angle : 180°)

$12J$ / unit cell



$2J$ / f.u.





Estimation of magnetic coupling parameters

Illustration with NiO: NaCl structure, A-type AFM along [111]

$$\text{Ni}^{2+} \rightarrow S = 1$$



$$E_{\alpha} = \langle \alpha | H | \alpha \rangle = E_0 + S^2 \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j$$

2 inequivalent Ni sites in the rhombohedral unit cell (S.G. R-3m)


J: magnetic coupling defined by $\text{Ni}_1\text{-O-Ni}_2$ path (angle : 180°)

$12J$ / unit cell

$2J$ / f.u.




$$E_{\text{FM}} = E_0 + 2J$$





$$E_{\text{AFM}} = E_0 - 2J$$

$$J = (E_{\text{FM}} - E_{\text{AFM}}) / 4$$





Magnetic structure of NiO - GGA+U calculations







Magnetic structure of NiO - GGA+U calculations






Magnetic structure of NiO - GGA+U calculations






Magnetic structure of NiO - GGA+U calculations



PRB 6, 3447 (1972)



Estimation of the magnetic anisotropy

◆ Do a regular scalar-relativistic "scf" calculation

◆ save_lapw

◆ initso_lapw

• case.inso:


```
WFFIL
 4  1  0          llmax,ipr,kpot
-10.0000  1.50000 emin,emax (output energy window)
  0.  0.  1.      direction of magnetization (lattice vectors)
NX              number of atoms for which RLO is added
NX1  -4.97      0.0005 atom number,e-lo,de (case.in1), repeat NX times
0 0 0 0 0        number of atoms for which SO is switch off; atoms
```

• case.in1(c):

```
(...)
2    0.30      0.005 CONT 1
0    0.30      0.000 CONT 1
K-VECTORS FROM UNIT:4    -9.0    4.5    65    emin/emax/nband
```

• symmetso (for spin-polarized calculations only)

◆ run(sp)_lapw -so ← -so switch specifies that scf cycles will include SOC



Estimation of the magnetic anisotropy


Estimation of the Magneto-crystalline Anisotropy Energy (MAE) of CuO


Allows to define the
magnetization
easy and *hard* axes

Here we have considered the
following expression:

$$MAE = E[u \ v \ w] - E[\text{easy axis}]$$

$E[uvw]$ is the energy deduced
from spin-orbit calculations with
the magnetization along the $[uvw]$
crystallographic direction





Estimation of the magnetic anisotropy


Estimation of the Magneto-crystalline Anisotropy Energy (MAE) of CuO


*Allows to define the
magnetization
easy and hard axes*

*Here we have considered the
following expression:*

$$MAE = E[u \ v \ w] - E[\text{easy axis}]$$

$E[uvw]$ is the energy deduced
from spin-orbit calculations with
the magnetization along the $[uvw]$
crystallographic direction





25th WIEN2k Workshop
Boston College – 2018




Relativistic effects **&** **Non-collinear magnetism**

(WIEN2k / WIENncm)



Xavier Rocquefelte
Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes
(UMR 6226) Université de Rennes 1, FRANCE






Pauli Hamiltonian for magnetic systems

⇒ *2x2 matrix in spin space, due to Pauli spin operators*

$$H_P = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff} + \mu_B \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}_{eff} + \xi(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{l}) + \dots$$

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2x2) Pauli spin matrices



Pauli Hamiltonian for magnetic systems

⇒ *2x2 matrix in spin space, due to Pauli spin operators*


$$H_P = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff} + \mu_B \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}_{eff} + \xi(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{l}) + \dots$$

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2x2) Pauli spin matrices

⇒ *Wave function is a 2-component vector (spinor) - It corresponds to the large components of the dirac wave function (small components are neglected)*

$$H_P \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{spin up} \\ \text{spin down} \end{array}$$



Pauli Hamiltonian for magnetic systems

⇒ *2x2 matrix in spin space, due to Pauli spin operators*

$$H_P = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff} + \mu_B \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}_{eff} + \xi(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{l}) + \dots$$

Effective electrostatic potential


$$V_{eff} = V_{ext} + V_H + V_{xc}$$

Exchange-correlation potential

Effective magnetic field

$$B_{eff} = B_{ext} + B_{xc}$$

Exchange-correlation field



Pauli Hamiltonian for magnetic systems

⇒ 2x2 matrix in spin space, due to Pauli spin operators

$$H_P = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff} + \mu_B \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}_{eff} + \zeta (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{l}) + \dots$$

Effective electrostatic potential

$$V_{eff} = V_{ext} + V_H + V_{xc}$$

Exchange-correlation potential

Effective magnetic field


$$B_{eff} = B_{ext} + B_{xc}$$

Exchange-correlation field

Spin-orbit coupling

$$\zeta = \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_e^2 c^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV}{dr}$$

Many-body effects which are defined within DFT LDA or GGA



Exchange and correlation

⇒ From DFT exchange correlation energy:

$$E_{xc}(\rho(r), \vec{m}) = \int \rho(r) \epsilon_{xc}^{hom}[\rho(r), \vec{m}] dr^3$$

Local function of the electronic density (ρ) and the magnetic moment (m)


⇒ Definition of V_{xc} and B_{xc} (functional derivatives):

$$V_{xc} = \frac{\partial E_{xc}(\rho, \vec{m})}{\partial \rho} \quad \vec{B}_{xc} = \frac{\partial E_{xc}(\rho, \vec{m})}{\partial \vec{m}}$$


⇒ LDA expression for V_{xc} and B_{xc} :

$$V_{xc} = \epsilon_{xc}^{hom}(\rho, \vec{m}) + \rho \frac{\partial \epsilon_{xc}^{hom}(\rho, \vec{m})}{\partial \rho} \quad \vec{B}_{xc} = \rho \frac{\partial \epsilon_{xc}^{hom}(\rho, \vec{m})}{\partial m} \hat{m}$$

B_{xc} is parallel to the magnetization density vector ($\hat{m}$)



Non-collinear magnetism




⇒ *Direction of magnetization vary in space, thus spin-orbit term is present*

$$H_P = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff} + \mu_B \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}_{eff} + \xi (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{l}) + \dots$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff} + \mu_B B_z + \dots & \mu_B (B_x - iB_y) \\ \mu_B (B_x + iB_y) & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff} - \mu_B B_z + \dots \end{pmatrix} \psi = \epsilon \psi$$

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \psi_1 \text{ and } \psi_2 \text{ are non-zero}$$

- ◆ *Solutions are non-pure spinors*
- ◆ *Non-collinear magnetic moments*



Collinear magnetism



⇒ Magnetization in z-direction / spin-orbit is not present


$$H_P = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff} + \mu_B \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}_{eff} + \cancel{\xi(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{l})} + \dots$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff} + \mu_B B_z + \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff} - \mu_B B_z + \dots \end{pmatrix} \psi = \varepsilon \psi$$

$$\psi_{\uparrow} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \psi_{\downarrow} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\uparrow} \neq \varepsilon_{\downarrow}$$

- ◆ Solutions are pure spinors
- ◆ Collinear magnetic moments
- ◆ Non-degenerate energies



Non-magnetic calculation

⇒ No magnetization present, $B_x = B_y = B_z = 0$ and no spin-orbit coupling


$$H_P = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff} + \cancel{\mu_B \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}_{eff}} + \cancel{\xi(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{l})} + \dots$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \nabla^2 + V_{eff} \end{pmatrix} \psi = \epsilon \psi$$

$$\psi_{\uparrow} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \psi_{\downarrow} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\epsilon_{\uparrow} = \epsilon_{\downarrow}$$


- ◆ Solutions are pure spinors
- ◆ Degenerate spin solutions



Magnetism and WIEN2k

⇒ Wien2k can only handle collinear or non-magnetic cases






Magnetism and WIEN2k


⇒ *Spin-polarized calculations*

- ◆ **runsp_lapw** script (unconstrained magnetic calc.)
- ◆ **runfsm_lapw -m** value (constrained moment calc.)
- ◆ **runafm_lapw** (constrained anti-ferromagnetic calculation)

- ◆ **spin-orbit coupling** can be included in second variational step
- ◆ **never mix polarized and non-polarized calculations in one case directory !!!**



Non-collinear magnetism




➔ *In case of non-collinear spin arrangements WIENncm (WIEN2k clone) has to be used:*


- ◆ code based on Wien2k (available for Wien2k users)
- ◆ structure and usage philosophy similar to Wien2k
- ◆ independent source tree, independent installation

➔ *WIENncm properties:*

- ◆ real and spin symmetry (simplifies SCF, less k-points)
- ◆ constrained or unconstrained calculations (optimizes magnetic moments)
- ◆ SOC in first variational step, LDA+U
- ◆ Spin spirals



Non-collinear magnetism



⇒ For non-collinear magnetic systems, both spin channels have to be considered simultaneously

Relation between spin density matrix and magnetization


runncm_lapw script:


```
xncm lapw0
xncm lapw1
xncm lapw2
xncm lcore
xncm mixer
```

$$m_z = n_{\uparrow\uparrow} - n_{\downarrow\downarrow} \neq 0$$


$$m_x = \frac{1}{2}(n_{\uparrow\downarrow} + n_{\downarrow\uparrow}) \neq 0$$

$$m_y = i\frac{1}{2}(n_{\uparrow\downarrow} - n_{\downarrow\uparrow}) \neq 0$$






WienNCM: Spin spirals



⇒ *Transverse spin wave*

$$\alpha = \vec{R} \cdot \vec{q}$$




$$\vec{m}^n = m \left(\cos(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{R}^n), \sin(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{R}^n) \sin \theta, \cos \theta \right)$$

◆ spin-spiral is defined by a vector q given in reciprocal space and an angle θ between magnetic moment and rotation axis.


◆ Rotation axis is arbitrary (no SOC) - fixed as z-axis in WIENNCM

⇒ **Translational symmetry is lost !**

⇒ But WIENncm is using the generalized Bloch theorem. The calculation of spin waves only requires **one unit cell** for even incommensurate modulation q vector.



WienNCM: Usage



1. Generate the atomic and magnetic structures

- ◆ Create atomic structure
- ◆ Create magnetic structure

See utility programs: ncmsymmetry, polarangles, ...

2. Run initncm (initialization script)

3. Run the NCM calculation:

- ◆ **xncm** (WIENncm version of **x** script)
- ◆ **runncm** (WIENncm version of **run** script)

More information on the manual (Robert Laskowski)

rolask@theochem.tuwien.ac.at