Form E-1-A for Boston College Core Curriculum

Department/Program  Slavic & Eastern Languages & Literatures
Program in Linguistics

Note: I am completing this form with reference to the course Ling3362 / Engl2122 / Socy3362
*Language in Society*, which offers Cultural Diversity credit. It was last taught Fall 2020

1) **Have formal learning outcomes for the department’s Core courses been developed? What are they?**
(What specific sets of skills and knowledge does the department expect students completing its Core
courses to have acquired?)

Students completing this course should gain expertise in the analysis of language as a cross-cultural
phenomenon including varieties of language associated with social class, ethnicity, gender, and locale;
bilingualism; pidgin and creole languages; proposals about the relationship of language, thought, and
culture; and the structure of discourse in different cultures. Student learning outcomes are evaluated by
producing three short papers; a research project in which each student gathers and analyzes original
sociolinguistic data; midterm and final exams.

2) **Where are these learning outcomes published? Be specific.** (Where are the department’s expected
learning outcomes for its Core courses accessible: on the web, in the catalog, or in your department
handouts?)

These learning outcomes are specified in the course syllabus, made available to the institution at large
through online publication, and distributed to students in hard copy at the beginning of the semester.
They are also posted on the course’s Canvas site.

3) **Other than GPA, what data/evidence is used to determine whether students have achieved the stated
outcomes for the Core requirement?** (What evidence and analytical approaches do you use to assess
which of the student learning outcomes have been achieved more or less well?)

(1) At mid-semester, students complete an informal course assessment in which they are invited to
reflect on their progress in the direction of the course goals. That pooled data informs the subsequent
direction of the course; (2) Linguistics majors can elect to present their research paper for this class as
an example of their best work in the discipline on the occasion of their senior departmental Colloquium;
(3) Students may further elect to submit their work for publication in the Boston College undergraduate
Linguistics journal, *Lingua Frankly*, or other venues; (4) The instructor grades and extensively comments
on mid-term exams, and posts online both further discussion of the exercise and samples of ideal
responses. Final exams are comprehensive of the full course, and graded but not returned to students.
They are retained as a permanent record of the group’s accomplishments.

4) **Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?** (Who in the department is responsible for
interpreting the data and making recommendations for curriculum or assignment changes if
appropriate? When does this occur?)

The instructor, in collaboration with any Teaching Assistant, interprets informal evidence for student
achievement gathered in mid-semester. The Chair of the Department and other faculty members
teaching in linguistics serve a consultative role as needed. In addition, student course evaluations are
carried out every year at the end of the semester; summaries are available to both the Chair of the
Department and to the Departments of English and of Sociology, where the course is cross-registered.
The instructor retains the full content of the course evaluations, including narrative responses to questions which probe students’ self-evaluations of course goals. The instructor and TA meet after the course evaluations are returned to discuss these texts in depth.

5) **What were the assessment results and what changes have been made as a result of using this data/evidence?** (What were the major assessment findings? Have there been any recent changes to your curriculum or program? How did the assessment data contribute to those changes?)

Assessment findings indicated that the course exceeded students’ expectations in informing them about the language in its social context. Many students revelled in the ways the course was able to help them make sense out of the feelings they already had about the importance of language in all facets of society and culture but that they had not been able to verbalize before. Student evaluations led to some minor changes to the curriculum, especially the readings and the work flow leading up to production of the major research paper, and other relatively low-level adjustments. We look forward to returning to this class in 2022.

6) **Date of the most recent program review.** (Your latest comprehensive departmental self-study and external review.)

Our latest departmental review occurred in 2012, and included review of each of the courses we offer.

Form updated by Bryan Fleming 28 May 2020
Form E-1-A for Boston College Departments/Programs

Department/Program: Slavic and Eastern Languages and Literatures

Literature Core Assessment SLAV2173/ENGL2228 Twentieth-Century Russian Literature

1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed? What are they? (What specific sets of skills and knowledge does the department expect its majors to have acquired before they graduate?)

In addition to their in-depth study of representative works of Russian literature in the 20th century within the Russian, Soviet and world context, students will pursue the general outcomes stated for all Literature Core courses at Boston College:

Literature, a product of the imagination, is a vehicle for understanding human experiences. In this part of the Core program, students read in order to assess the shape and values of their own cultures; to discover alternative ways of looking at the world; to gain insights into issues of permanent importance and contemporary urgency; and to distinguish and appreciate the linguistic and formal satisfactions of literary art.

To read literature critically is to examine the human condition through language’s expressive power and to place the reception of literary works in a cultural, historical, and social context. In Literature Core courses, students will be introduced to disciplinary skills including close reading, analysis of texts, and the practice of writing about them with clarity and engagement. Through shared critical and reflective inquiry, students will explore ways in which meaning is textually produced in the world.

2) Where are these learning outcomes published? Be specific. (Where are the department’s learning expectations accessible to potential majors: on the web or in the catalog or in your dept major handouts?)

Learning outcomes for Literature Core courses will correspond to those published on the English Department website:

http://www.bc.edu/schools/cas/english/undergraduate.html

Additionally, the syllabus contains a detailed statement on the learning outcome.

3) Other than GPA, what data/evidence is used to determine whether graduates have achieved the stated outcomes for the degree? (What evidence and analytical approaches do you use to assess which of the student learning outcomes are being achieved more or less well?)

Students are assigned an early short paper, which also serves as a writing sample (3-4 pp.). The paper is graded for both writing and analysis, and is returned copy-edited. The goal is to provide an example of formal writing and to indicate to the student what is required in terms of analysis. There is a midterm, a longer paper (10-12 pp.), and a final exam. There may be an additional short writing assignment.
4) **Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?** (Who in the department is responsible for interpreting the data and making recommendations for curriculum or assignment changes if appropriate? When does this occur?)

Core literature courses (2) in Slavic and Eastern Languages and Literatures are taught by the two FT faculty in Russian/Slavic literature. These professors assess the outcomes of their students. Changes are made to the curriculum in consultation and in response to student performance and evaluation.

5) **What were the assessment results and what changes have been made as a result of using this data/evidence?** What were the major assessment findings? Have there been any recent changes to your curriculum or program? How did the assessment data contribute to those changes?

The SELL department conducts annual reassessments of its core literature courses. The most recent changes included an emphasis on a broader representation of genres and literary movements, as well as on ethnic and gender diversity of the authors/materials taught.

6) **Date of the most recent program review.** (Your latest comprehensive departmental self-study and external review.)

2012
Form E-1-A for Boston College Departments/Programs

Department/Program: Slavic and Eastern Languages and Literatures

Cultural Diversity Core Assessment
SLAV 2065/SOCY 2280 Society and National Identity in the Balkans

1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed? What are they? (What specific sets of skills and knowledge does the department expect its majors to have acquired before they graduate?)

In this cultural diversity course students study aspects of ethnic, cultural, and religious diversity among the peoples of the Balkans: Albanians, Bosnians, Bulgarians, Croats, Greeks, Jews, Macedonians, Serbs, Slovenes, Romanians, and Turks. They examine the various parameters of identity: linguistic typologies, religious diversity (Catholicism, Orthodoxy, Judaism, Islam), culture, and social class. They analyze sources of nationalism and identify reasons for conflicts and war. They examine and compare their own social experience and value system with the ones they learn about. In the process of learning, they develop appreciation of the Balkan history and culture (which contributed the term “Balkanization” to the American political lexicon) and come to understand the factors for nations’ disintegration.

As in any other university core for cultural diversity, students in this class develop appreciation of other cultures and ways of life and develop capacity to see the world from the point of view of others. In addition, they examine and compare their own culture, moral concepts, and social values with the one they learn about.

A critical component of a liberal arts education is the capacity to see human experience from the point of view of others who encounter and interpret the world in significantly different ways. Courses in Cultural Diversity, by introducing students to different cultures and examining the concepts of cultural identity and cultural differences, are aimed at developing students' appreciation of other ways of life and providing a new understanding of their own cultures.

2) Where are these learning outcomes published? Be specific. (Where are the department’s learning expectations accessible to potential majors: on the web or in the catalog or in your dept major handouts?)

Learning outcomes for Cultural Diversity Core courses will correspond to those published on the Core Requirements & Courses website:

https://www.bc.edu/bc-web/schools/mcas/undergraduate/core-curriculum/core-requirements.html#1_course_in_cultural_diversity

Additionally, the syllabus contains a detailed statement on the learning outcome.
3) Other than GPA, what data/evidence is used to determine whether graduates have achieved the stated outcomes for the degree? What evidence and analytical approaches do you use to assess which of the student learning outcomes are being achieved more or less well?

Successful completion of this course requires a midterm, a final exam, consistent participation in class discussions, and a class presentation. The exams target students’ learning outcomes in regard to factual comprehension and analytical thinking. Major errors are discussed in class, and then students are asked to analyze and correct their own work. Students prepare pre-circulated questions and participate in class discussions, which are designed to measure their learning outcome. Another source of students learning outcome is the individual research, development, and presentation on a topic related to the syllabus.

4) Who interprets the evidence? What is the process? (Who in the department is responsible for interpreting the data and making recommendations for curriculum or assignment changes if appropriate? When does this occur?)

Cultural diversity courses in the fields of Slavic Studies are taught by the two FT faculty and one PT faculty in Russian/Slavic literature. These professors assess the outcomes of their students. Changes are made to the curriculum in consultation and in response to student performance and evaluation.

5) What were the assessment results and what changes have been made as a result of using this data/evidence? What were the major assessment findings? Have there been any recent changes to your curriculum or program? How did the assessment data contribute to those changes?

Errors or deficiencies in student performance are clearly marked on the exams. The exams are returned for individual corrections and discussed in class. Student projects are evaluated by the instructor before and after presentations in class -- before, for better conceptualization of the projects, and after, for clarifications and encouragement. The performance data is analyzed and taken into account for future improvement of study materials and/or changes in the syllabus.

The SELL department conducts annual reassessments of its core literature courses.

6) Date of the most recent program review. (Your latest comprehensive departmental self-study and external review.)

2012
Form E-1-A for Boston College Departments/Programs

Literature Core Assessment SLAV2162/ENGL2227 Classics of Russian Literature

Department/Program: Slavic and Eastern Languages and Literatures

1) **Have formal learning outcomes been developed? What are they?** (What specific sets of skills and knowledge does the department expect its majors to have acquired before they graduate?)

In addition to their in-depth study of representative classics of Russian literature within the Russian and world context, students will pursue the general outcomes stated for all Literature Core courses at Boston College:

Literature, a product of the imagination, is a vehicle for understanding human experiences. In this part of the Core program, students read in order to assess the shape and values of their own cultures; to discover alternative ways of looking at the world; to gain insights into issues of permanent importance and contemporary urgency; and to distinguish and appreciate the linguistic and formal satisfactions of literary art.

To read literature critically is to examine the human condition through language’s expressive power and to place the reception of literary works in a cultural, historical, and social context. In Literature Core courses, students will be introduced to disciplinary skills including close reading, analysis of texts, and the practice of writing about them with clarity and engagement. Through shared critical and reflective inquiry, students will explore ways in which meaning is textually produced in the world.

2) **Where are these learning outcomes published? Be specific.** (Where are the department’s learning expectations accessible to potential majors: on the web or in the catalog or in your dept major handouts?)

Learning outcomes for Literature Core courses will correspond to those published on the English Department website:

http://www.bc.edu/schools/cas/english/undergraduate.html

Additionally, the syllabus contains detailed information on the learning outcome.

3) **Other than GPA, what data/evidence is used to determine whether graduates have achieved the stated outcomes for the degree?** (What evidence and analytical approaches do you use to assess which of the student learning outcomes are being achieved more or less well?)

In addition to a midterm and a final exam, students complete two short papers. The paper is graded for both writing and analysis, and is returned copy-edited. The goal is to provide an example of formal writing and to indicate to the student what is required in terms of analysis.
4) **Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?** (Who in the department is responsible for interpreting the data and making recommendations for curriculum or assignment changes if appropriate? When does this occur?)

Core literature courses (2) in Slavic and Eastern Languages and Literatures are taught by the two FT faculty in Russian/Slavic literature. These professors assess the outcomes of their students. Changes are made to the curriculum in consultation and in response to student performance and evaluation.

5) **What were the assessment results and what changes have been made as a result of using this data/evidence?** What were the major assessment findings? Have there been any recent changes to your curriculum or program? How did the assessment data contribute to those changes?

The SELL department conducts annual reassessments of its core literature courses. The most recent changes included an emphasis on a broader representation of genres and literary movements, as well as on ethnic and gender diversity of the authors/materials taught.

6) **Date of the most recent program review.** (Your latest comprehensive departmental self-study and external review.)

2012
Form E-1-A for Boston College Departments/Programs

Department/Program: Slavic and Eastern Languages and Literatures

Arts Core Assessment SLAV2180 From Russia with Love

1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed? What are they? (What specific sets of skills and knowledge does the department expect its majors to have acquired before they graduate?)

This interdisciplinary course provides an introduction to Russian musical and visual culture from its beginning to today. Students become familiar with sounds and images that represent Russia’s contribution to world culture and Russians live by, such as symphonies by Tchaikovsky and Shostakovich as well as paintings by Repin and Chagall. To better understand these works, students consider the artists’ aesthetic convictions in the context of several important artistic movements (e.g. Romanticism, Symbolism, the Avant-Garde, and Socialist Realism). Students discuss how and to what extent music and art negotiate the politics of its time. With the goal of developing artistic and musical sensibilities, students build a critical vocabulary to speak about art and music. By the end of the course, students can speak and write intelligently about music and art as well as acquire skills to discern characteristics unique to these work.

This course develops not only students’ ability to appreciate art, it also trains them to listen critically. Listening for details is a skill that needs to be practiced and cultivated. Students are introduced to basic music theory that covers: musical elements (harmony, melody, rhythm, timbre), chord progressions, dynamic and expression markings, vocal registers, and instrumentations. Once the foundation is established, students move onto interpretation: why do composer choose a certain tonality and write the melody in a certain way? What is the point of writing a symphony during the Leningrad Blockade, when people are dying of hunger? Through guided listening exercises, students learn about the fascinating world that lies behind abstract musical notes.

2) Where are these learning outcomes published? Be specific. (Where are the department’s learning expectations accessible to potential majors: on the web or in the catalog or in your dept major handouts?)

The syllabus contains a detailed statement on the learning outcome. In addition, the learning outcomes correspond to those outlined on the Core website:
https://www.bc.edu/bc-web/schools/mcas/undergraduate/core-curriculum/core-requirements.htm l#1_course_in_arts

3) Other than GPA, what data/evidence is used to determine whether graduates have achieved the stated outcomes for the degree? What evidence and analytical approaches do you use to assess which of the student learning outcomes are being achieved more or less well?

Students are given regular quizzes based on the reading and listening assignments. They complete two essays as well as a final project, designed and carried out in consultation with the instructor. The essays offer students a choice of prompts; students are asked to engage in musical and artistic analyses and consider their historical context. Prior to the quizzes, study guides are distributed to help students
navigate a large amount of information (composers, painters, definition of terms, relevant historical events, etc.).

Final projects, which culminated in presentations and a concert at the end of the semester, illustrate the progress students have made during the semester. Students submit a project proposal early on in the semester and refine the project in consultation with the instructor. Progress reports are submitted regularly to make sure students are making good progress. Final projects for this semester include research papers, an original play, paintings, photobook, programming (studying the relationship between artificial intelligence and art), an electronic music composition, and musical performances.

4) **Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?** (Who in the department is responsible for interpreting the data and making recommendations for curriculum or assignment changes if appropriate? When does this occur?)

The professor – who is also a concert pianist – assesses the outcomes. Changes are made to the curriculum in response to student performance and evaluations.

5) **What were the assessment results and what changes have been made as a result of using this data/evidence?** What were the major assessment findings? Have there been any recent changes to your curriculum or program? How did the assessment data contribute to those changes?

At BC, there are courses on Russian literature, history, film, politics, but art and music are conspicuously missing. This course fills a gap that is essential to Russian – and to a good extent, world – culture. While the course focuses on music and art, students have pointed out the usefulness in looking at literature and film (the course covers many operas that are based on literary texts), since these art forms influence each other. Students appreciate the various formats this course uses: discussions, presentations, musical demonstrations, and mock Stalin Prize committee deliberations.

The list of works and readings will continue to be revised. More writing workshops will be offered in the future to help students complete written assignments. Peer review, scaffolding the assignment into smaller chunks, and revising papers based on the instructor’s feedback will be important steps to improve student writing.

6) **Date of the most recent program review.** (Your latest comprehensive departmental self-study and external review.)

2012
1) **Have formal learning outcomes been developed? What are they?** (What specific sets of skills and knowledge does the department expect its majors to have acquired before they graduate?)

Students become familiar with important works of East European literature from nineteenth century to today. In addition to studying the works’ historical and cultural contexts, students gain close reading skills in various genres, such as prose, poetry, and drama. Some of the themes discussed include identity, exile, memory/nostalgia, war, and social/political upheavals. Students become familiar with a variety of literary and artistic styles, such as romanticism, realism, and absurdism. Some key questions this course addresses include: what is it that makes the region prone to conflicts? What is the role of writers and artists and how did they respond to cataclysmic events?

The course places special emphasis on works that have been recognized by the Nobel Prize in Literature, won by many writers from this region: Sienkiewicz, Singer, Andrić, Miłosz, Szymborska, Alexievich, Tokarczuk. Even though the focus of this course is on literature, students are exposed to other materials – films, visual art, music, interviews – to gain a deeper understanding of the region. Special attention is paid to significant historical events and their representations in literature, such as the Partitions of Poland, both world wars, the Holocaust, the rise and collapse of the Soviet Union, and the Chernobyl disaster, to name a few. By the end of the course, students gain a solid understanding of this fascinating and volatile region that is little understood in the world today.

2) **Where are these learning outcomes published? Be specific.** (Where are the department’s learning expectations accessible to potential majors: on the web or in the catalog or in your dept major handouts?)

The syllabus contains a detailed statement on the learning outcome. In addition, the learning outcomes correspond to those outlined on the Core website: [https://www.bc.edu/bc-web/schools/mcas/undergraduate/core-curriculum/core-requirements.htm](https://www.bc.edu/bc-web/schools/mcas/undergraduate/core-curriculum/core-requirements.htm)

3) **Other than GPA, what data/evidence is used to determine whether graduates have achieved the stated outcomes for the degree?** (What evidence and analytical approaches do you use to assess which of the student learning outcomes are being achieved more or less well?)

Students are given regular quizzes based on the readings. They complete two essays as well as a midterm and a final exam during the semester. The essays offer students a choice of prompts; the students are asked to engage in literary analysis and consider the text’s historical context. The exams contain several sections: passage identifications, short answers, identification of terms, and close reading analysis. Prior to the exams, study guides are distributed to help students navigate a large amount of information (authors, works, geographical areas, historical events, etc.).
4) **Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?** (Who in the department is responsible for interpreting the data and making recommendations for curriculum or assignment changes if appropriate? When does this occur?)

The professor assesses the outcomes. Changes are made to the curriculum in response to student performance and evaluations.

5) **What were the assessment results and what changes have been made as a result of using this data/evidence?** What were the major assessment findings? Have there been any recent changes to your curriculum or program? How did the assessment data contribute to those changes?

Students have pointed out the importance of this course to complement the largely Eurocentric curriculum, which as a rule overlooks this important region. Students have pointed out the usefulness of studying this region not only with literary texts but also with visual art, films, and music. Students appreciate the various genres this course covers.

More writing workshops will be offered in the future to help students complete written assignments. Peer review, scaffolding the assignment into smaller chunks, and revising papers based on the instructor’s feedback will be important steps to improve student writing.

6) **Date of the most recent program review.** (Your latest comprehensive departmental self-study and external review.)

2012
1) **Have formal learning outcomes been developed? What are they?** (What specific sets of skills and knowledge does the department expect its majors to have acquired before they graduate?)

   This cultural diversity course introduces students to the history, culture, and languages of the Slavs, the most numerous ethnic and linguistic group in Europe. Students learn elements of the shared culture as well as the unique identities of the Western, the Eastern, and the Southern Slavs. They compare and contrast Slavic languages, religion, and social experiences. Their learning outcome is based on the study of works of scholarship and exemplary Slavic literature. While examining the selection of literary works, students develop analytical skills and learn how to contextualize art to social and historical experience.

   As in any other university core for cultural diversity, students in this class develop appreciation of other cultures and ways of life and develop capacity to see the world from the point of view of others. In addition, they examine and compare their own culture, moral concepts, and social values with the one they learn about.

   A critical component of a liberal education is the capacity to see human experience from the point of view of others who encounter and interpret the world in significantly different ways. Courses in Cultural Diversity, by introducing students to different cultures and examining the concepts of cultural identity and cultural differences, are aimed at developing students' appreciation of other ways of life and providing a new understanding of their own cultures.

2) **Where are these learning outcomes published? Be specific.** (Where are the department’s learning expectations accessible to potential majors: on the web or in the catalog or in your dept major handouts?)

   Learning outcomes for Cultural Diversity Core courses correspond to those published on the Core Requirements & Courses website:

   https://www.bc.edu/bc-web/schools/mcas/undergraduate/core-curriculum/core-requirements.html#1_course_in_cultural_diversity

   Additionally, the syllabus contains a detailed statement on the learning outcome.
3) **Other than GPA, what data/evidence is used to determine whether graduates have achieved the stated outcomes for the degree?** What evidence and analytical approaches do you use to assess which of the student learning outcomes are being achieved more or less well?

There is a midterm as well as a final exam, in addition to a short paper. The paper gives students a variety of choices, ranging from literary analysis based on close reading to broader themes. The paper is graded for both writing and analysis, and is returned with detailed comments. The goal is to provide an example of formal writing and to indicate to the student what is expected in terms of analytical writing.

The successful completion of the course requires passing a midterm and a final exam, both of which are comprised of factual and analytical questions. Each question targets students’ learning outcomes. When the midterms are graded, they are returned to students for corrections. In addition, during scheduled class discussions based on posted questions, students share their knowledge and understanding. Students’ participation demonstrates the achieved individual learning outcomes.

4) **Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?** (Who in the department is responsible for interpreting the data and making recommendations for curriculum or assignment changes if appropriate? When does this occur?)

Cultural diversity courses in the fields of Slavic Studies are taught by the two FT faculty and one PT faculty in Russian/Slavic literature. In Spring 2019, the course was co-taught. These professors assess the outcomes of their students. Changes are made to the curriculum in consultation and in response to student performance and evaluation.

5) **What were the assessment results and what changes have been made as a result of using this data/evidence?** What were the major assessment findings? Have there been any recent changes to your curriculum or program? How did the assessment data contribute to those changes?

Exams and papers are graded and returned to students, followed by a general discussion about them in class. Exams are designed for students to demonstrate the learning outcome. The performance data is analyzed and taken into account for future improvement of study materials and/or changes in the syllabus.

The SELL department conducts annual reassessments of its core courses.

6) **Date of the most recent program review.** (Your latest comprehensive departmental self-study and external review.)

2012
Form E-1-A for Boston College Departments/Programs

Department/Program: Slavic and Eastern Languages and Literatures, Program in Near Eastern Languages and Civilizations

NELC2061/SOCY1148: Language Memory and Identity in the Middle East (Salameh)

NELC 2161/RLRL2292/ENGL2348: Modern Middle Eastern and Arabic Literature (Salameh)

NELC2062/SOCY1150: States and Minorities in the Middle East (Salameh)

1) Have formal learning outcomes been developed? What are they? (What specific sets of skills and knowledge does the department expect its majors to have acquired before they graduate?)

Learning outcomes for the courses listed above vary. But all three, satisfying cultural diversity core, address enduring questions made especially significant in our time: What are the Near and Middle East? Where are they situated geographically, historically, culturally, linguistically, and religiously? Who are the peoples of the Near and Middle East, how do we approach their varied histories, languages, traditions, and religious accretions, and how do their cultural, political, linguistic, and literary rituals compare to and differ from those of “cultural west”? How does our understanding of the cultural productions and other traditions of the Near and Middle East (religious, linguistic, political...) shape our understanding of the region itself, our selves, and the world at large. In seeking answers to this quest for understanding, the courses examine ways in which language and history—remembered, restored, invented, and suppressed—have been used in the process of myth building and in the development of collective memories and corporate identities in the Near and Middle East of the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, and the cultural and political challenges of the twenty-first century. Likewise, the courses also try to shed light on alternative and revisionist views challenging prevalent conceptions/assumptions about the Near and Middle East. In various contexts, and relying on a variety of texts (literary, journalistic, political, legal, religious, and historiographical) we consider how Middle Easterners, at least since the 2011 events known as “The Arab Spring,” have been questioning and rethinking their assumptions about themselves, their region, their identities, and the world outside of their immediate “neighborhoods.” In sum, the courses help us navigate our own “rethinking” of our own paradigms, stereotypes, and idées reçues about the Near and Middle East, which, shattered as they may be, remain “mosaics” not “monoliths,” and in that sense closer to—and in some cases a “progenitor” of—the “cultural west” than conventional knowledge might suggest.
2) **Where are these learning outcomes published? Be specific.** (Where are the department’s learning expectations accessible to potential majors: on the web or in the catalog or in your dept major handouts?)

   Learning outcomes are spelled out in the course syllabi, made available to students at the beginning of the semester through Canvas and hard-copies, and currently being published on the department website.

3) **Other than GPA, what data/evidence is used to determine whether graduates have achieved the stated outcomes for the degree?** (What evidence and analytical approaches do you use to assess which of the student learning outcomes are being achieved more or less well?)

   Students write weekly (500-word) “reactions” which they post on Canvas, reflecting on the week’s readings, and constituting the bases of our online and in-class conversations. Students also write a 2000-word mid-term assignment, initially on a topic of their own choosing (but in consultation with the instructor,) synthesizing, analyzing, and responding to various questions generated in assigned readings and in-class discussions. In preparation for a 5000-word Final Paper, students also give a short oral presentation, and begin a class conversation on a research topic dealing with Near and Middle Eastern literary and cultural traditions, in an attempt to frame, question, challenge, and augment “self” and “other” understanding.

4) **Who interprets the evidence? What is the process?** (Who in the department is responsible for interpreting the data and making recommendations for curriculum or assignment changes if appropriate? When does this occur?)

   The instructor, in collaboration with NELC colleagues, interprets the evidence. Additionally, course evaluations are studied closely, including the qualitative narrative responses, and course adjustments are made accordingly.

4) **What changes have been made as a result of using the data/evidence?** (Have there been any recent changes to your curriculum or program? Why were they made?)

   Students’ written work (weekly responses, as well as mid-term and final paper) is commented extensively, usually using the “comments” function in Word, and subsequently discussed in person and by way of class conversations. This is done for the purpose of future improvement in student performance and achievement, and further course development.

5) **Date of the most recent program review.** (Your latest comprehensive departmental self-study and external review.)

   2012

Completed by Franck Salameh, June 11, 2019.