

PRINCIPLES OF EFFECTIVE PRACTICE FOR INTEGRATED STUDENT SUPPORT

BOSTON COLLEGE CENTER FOR THRIVING CHILDREN

Mary E. Walsh, Ph.D., Joan Wasser Gish, J.D., M.A., Claire Foley, Ph.D., Maria Theodorakakis, M.A., Kirsten Rene, M.A.

Introduction

For over half a century, it has been recognized that contexts beyond school – like health, social-emotional wellbeing, family, and neighborhood – can account for up to two-thirds of the variance in student achievement.¹ Developmental systems theories and neurobiological disciplines have more recently begun to explain the link between these outside factors and educational outcomes.² This research provides insight into why experiences like poverty and trauma can inhibit learning, and what can be done to counteract their effects.

Drawing on vast interdisciplinary literature, this brief distills key insights from the developmental sciences, identifies principles of effective practice in student support emanating from the science, and highlights new peer-reviewed evidence demonstrating that when the principles of effective practice are implemented, students have better effort, grades, and attendance; significantly narrow achievement gaps; and are less likely to drop out of high school.

Developmental Science

The theoretical and biological developmental sciences provide insights that help us to better understand the role and potential of integrated student support, a school-based approach to advancing student achievement by “developing or securing and coordinating supports that target academic and non-academic barriers to achievement.”³ These insights include:

Every child is unique. As a function of differing genetic and environmental circumstances, no two children experience the same developmental trajectory.⁴

Development occurs across domains.

Child development takes place across multiple domains – including academic, social-emotional, health, and family, with each domain impacting all other domains.⁵

Strengths and risks co-act. There is a delicate dialogue between risks and strengths, where a child’s protective resources such as positive relationships, talents or interests may or may not help to mitigate the impacts of risk factors like deprivation, abuse, or anxiety. The presence of risk factors does not necessarily lead to a negative outcome because of the co-action of a child’s protective factors.⁶

Intensity matters. Children experience difficulties and strengths along a continuum of intensity, requiring varying levels of support.⁷

Development occurs in different contexts.

Children develop in multiple contexts, including their home, school, and community. All contexts play an important role in their development.⁸

Development occurs over time.

Positive and negative childhood experiences affect a student’s success and adjustment during the elementary school years, which, in turn, affect behavior and learning during middle school, high school, and beyond.⁹

Developmental trajectories can be

disrupted. Exposure to chronic adversity and trauma can lead to toxic stress, which can adversely impact children’s brain development and diminish academic outcomes. In spite of these challenges, developmental science also recognizes the phenomenon of brain plasticity and the malleability of development, which makes it possible to intervene in the course of development.¹⁰

Principles of Effective Practice

Developmental science illuminates risks to child development and learning, as well as opportunities for meaningful intervention. The literature on development makes clear that: (1) protective factors can be bolstered while risk factors can be addressed, essentially making it possible to tilt a child's negative developmental trajectory in a positive direction; and (2) child development is influenced across contexts that include home, school, and community.

So what does this imply for practice? Decades of scholarship from diverse fields emphasize the importance of systemic, comprehensive approaches to student support aimed at meeting the needs of the “whole child.”¹¹ Across the nation, approaches to “wraparound,” “comprehensive services,” “full service schools,” “community schools,” “Promise Neighborhoods,” or “collective impact,” are pursuing this aim. More recent scholarship asserts that because of the dynamic influences on child development and readiness to learn, effective approaches to intervention must tailor to the heterogeneity of variations – or differences – across children and across time.¹² In short, the research suggests that to be an effective intervention, student support should be: Customized, Comprehensive, Coordinated and Continuous.

Evidence of Efficacy: The Example of City Connects

City Connects was designed to operationalize the principles of effective practice and see whether they would have an impact on students.¹³ Co-designed by researchers at the Boston College Lynch School of Education and Boston Public School principals, teachers, families, and area community agencies, City Connects presently operates in over 85 urban public, charter, and parochial schools in nine cities across five states. About 90% of students served by City Connects are low-income, 20% are learning English, and 19% receive special education services.

CUSTOMIZED

- **Individualized:** Optimize each student's healthy development and readiness to learn.
- **Universal:** Assess each student's strengths and needs.

COMPREHENSIVE

- **Whole child:** Assess each student's strengths and needs across all developmental domains: academic, social-emotional, health, and family.
- **Multi-tiered:** Evaluate the intensity of support required in each domain – from preventive to intensive – which may differ for each child in each domain.

COORDINATED

- **Intentional:** Through a culturally sensitive lens, and in collaboration with teachers, students, and their families, match each student with resources and opportunities aligned with the domains and intensities of their individual needs and strengths in order to enhance protective factors and mitigate risk factors. Because of the diversity of student needs and strengths, high quality matches likely require connections to resources located in the school and in the community.
- **Organized:** Collect and organize information about school and community-based resources to increase efficiency and quality of match between child and resources and opportunities. Establish ongoing, reciprocal communication and information sharing, consistent with privacy laws, regarding student needs and progress.

CONTINUOUS

- **Systemic:** Integrate this process into the functioning of the school, creating a cyclical approach that allows for follow-up and responds to changes for each child over time.
- **Accountable:** Evaluate fidelity of implementation and impact. Use this information to improve quality and efficacy of implementation.

The Practice. Each fall, every teacher in a City Connects school has a one-hour conversation with a Master’s-level City Connects Coordinator, usually a social worker or school counselor, to discuss every child in their class. Informed by the principles of developmental science, the Coordinator taps into the teacher’s knowledge and observations regarding each of their student’s strengths and needs across multiple domains of development (academic, social-emotional, health, and family). The Coordinator then assesses the complexities interfering with each child’s learning and healthy development on a continuum ranging from “no risk” to “severe risk” across each domain. Based on the profile of the child that emerges from the teacher’s feedback and observations, and in consultation with the family and school staff, every child then receives an individualized support plan detailing the tailored services, resources, and opportunities needed to optimize the child’s readiness to learn. The Coordinator is responsible for ensuring that each plan is implemented. To meet the complex of children’s needs, City Connects establishes partnerships with community providers in order to access resources outside of the four walls of the school. These partnerships collectively provide a range of prevention, early intervention, crisis intervention, and enrichment services.

The Evidence. Students attending City Connects elementary schools demonstrated improved effort, behavior, attendance and grades. When followed into 8th grade, they close half of the achievement gap in English and two-thirds of the achievement gap in math relative to the Massachusetts state average.¹⁴ When followed into 12th grade, their high school dropout rate is cut by almost 50 percent.¹⁵ Subgroups, including immigrant students, African-American students, Latino students and students learning English, also experience significant benefits.¹⁶ This approach is also cost-effective. Economists at Columbia University find that the cost of resource coordination and assignment produces \$11 in benefits for every \$1 in costs, and when the costs of all services – provided across area schools, non-profits, government agencies, and programs – are included, the return on investment is \$3 for every \$1 invested.¹⁷

Conclusions

The evidence emanating from City Connects has broad implications for both policy and practice. The evidence shows that when the research-based principles of effective practice for integrated student support are implemented, they can serve as a powerful and cost-efficient tool for narrowing achievement gaps and reducing dropout rates.¹⁸

References

- ¹ Noguera, P. and E. Morrell (2011). “A Framework for Change: A Broader and Bolder Approach to School Reform” in *Teachers College Record*, August 4. <http://www.tcrecord.org>; Rothstein, R. (2010). How to fix our schools. Issue Brief #286. Washington, DC: Economic Policy Institute, October 14, 2010. Available: www.epi.org.
- ² Center on the Developing Child. (2016). Toxic Stress. Harvard University. Retrieved from <http://developingchild.harvard.edu/science/key-concepts/toxic-stress/>; Shonkoff, J. P., Siegel, B. S., Dobbins, M. I., Earls, M. F., McGuinn, L., ... & Wood, D. L. (2012). The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. *Pediatrics*, 129(1), e232-e246. Note: In short, all children possess or encounter risk and protective factors that can complement, contaminate, or compensate for one another over time. For example, children living in poverty may be exposed to risk factors such as persistent hunger or cold, pain due to untreated medical or dental needs, or the experience of traumatic stresses tied to abuse, domestic or neighborhood violence. These risk factors may undermine working memory, attentiveness, and ability to develop the social-emotional and cognitive skills required for academic success.
- ³ Brabeck, M. M., & Walsh, M. E. (2003). Meeting at the hyphen: Schools-universities-communities-professions in collaboration for student achievement and wellbeing. 102nd Yearbook, Part 2. Chicago: National Society for the Study of Education; Moore, K.A., & Emig, C. (2014). Integrated student supports: A summary of the evidence base for policymakers. Retrieved from: <http://www.childtrends.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/2014-05ISSWhitePaper1.pdf>; Walsh, M. E. & Backe, S. (2013). School-university partnerships: Reflections and opportunities. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 88(5), 594-607; Walsh, M. E., & DePaul, J. (2008). The essential role of school-community partnerships in school counseling. In H. L. K. Coleman & C. Yeh (Eds.), *Handbook of school counseling*. (pp. 765-783). Baltimore: MidAtlantic Books & Journals.
- ⁴ Cicchetti, D., & Sroufe, L. A. (2000). The past as prologue to the future: The times, they’ve been a-changin’. *Development and psychopathology* (Special issue). Reflecting on the Past and Planning for the Future of Developmental Psychopathology, 12, 255–264; Masten, A.S. Tellegen, A. (2012). Resilience in developmental psychopathology: Contributions of the project competence longitudinal study. *Development and Psychopathology*, 24, 345-361.
- ⁵ Ford, D. H., & Lerner, R. M. (1992). Developmental systems theory: An integrative approach. Newbury Park, CA: Sage; Lerner, R. M. (1995). Developing individuals within changing contexts: Implications of developmental contextualism for human development research, policy, and programs. In T. A. Kindermann & J. Valsiner (Eds.), *Development of person-context relations*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum. Rutter, M. (2007). Gene–environment interdependence. *Developmental Science*, 10, 12–18; Sameroff, A. (2009). The transactional model. *American Psychological Association*.
- ⁶ Masten, A. (2001). Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 227-238. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.227; Masten, A. S. (2011). Resilience in children threatened by extreme adversity: Frameworks for research, practice, and translational synergy. *Development and Psychopathology*, 23, 141-154; Masten, A.S. & Tellegen, A. (2012). Resilience in developmental psychopathology: Contributions of the project competence longitudinal study. *Development and Psychopathology*, 24, 345-361.
- ⁷ Adelman, H. S., & Taylor, L. (Eds.). (2006). *The school leader’s guide to student learning supports: New directions for addressing barriers to learning*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press; Frey, K. S., Hirschstein, M. K., & Guzzo, B. A. (2000). Second step preventing aggression by promoting social competence. *Journal of*

Emotional and Behavioral Disorders, 8(2), 102-112.; Reinke, W. M., Herman, K. C., & Stormont, M. (2013). Classroom-level positive behavior supports in schools implementing SW-PBIS identifying areas for enhancement. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 15(1), 39-50.

⁸ Bronfenbrenner, U. & Morris, P. A. (1998). The ecology of developmental processes. In W. Damon & R. M. Lerner (Eds.), *Handbook of child psychology*, Vol. 1: Theoretical models of human development (5th ed., pp. 993-1023). New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.; Bronfenbrenner, U., & Morris, P. A. (2006). The bioecological model of human development. *Handbook of child psychology*.

⁹ Elder, G. H. (1998). The life course as developmental theory. *Child development*, 69(1), 1-12.; Shonkoff, J.P., & Phillips, D., A. (2000). From neurons to neighborhoods: The science of early childhood development. National Academy of Sciences. Washington DC, USA.; Walsh, M. E., Kenny, M. E., Wieneke, K. M., & Harrington, K. R. (2008). The Boston Connects program: Promoting learning and healthy development. *Professional School Counseling*, 12(2), 166-169.

¹⁰ Center on the Developing Child. (2016). Toxic Stress. Harvard University. Retrieved from <http://developingchild.harvard.edu/science/key-concepts/toxic-stress/>; Hair, N. L., Hanson, J. L., Wolfe, B. L., & Pollak, S. D. (2015). Association of child poverty, brain development, and academic achievement. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 169(9), 822-829.; Shonkoff, J. P., Garner, A. S., Siegel, B. S., Dobbins, M. I., Earls, M. F., McGuinn, L., ... & Wood, D. L. (2012). The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. *Pediatrics*, 129(1), e232-e246.; Ursache, A., & Noble, K. G. (2016). Neurocognitive development in socioeconomic context: Multiple mechanisms and implications for measuring socioeconomic status. *Psychophysiology*, 53(1), 71-82. Shonkoff, J. P. (2010). Building a new biodevelopmental framework to guide the future of early childhood policy. *Child development*, 81(1), 357-367.

¹¹ Adelman, H. S., & Taylor, L. (Eds.). (2006). *The school leader's guide to student learning supports: New directions for addressing barriers to learning*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.; Centers for Disease Control (2016). *Whole School, Whole Community, Whole Child*. <http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/wsc/index.htm>; National Research Council (2002). *Community Programs to Promote Youth Development*. National Academic Press.

¹² Cicchetti, D., & Sroufe, L. A. (2000). The past as prologue to the future: The times, they've been a-changin'. *Development and psychopathology (Special issue). Reflecting on the Past and Planning for the Future of Developmental Psychopathology*, 12, 255-264; Masten, A.S. Tellegen, A. (2012). Resilience in developmental psychopathology: Contributions of the project competence longitudinal study. *Development and Psychopathology*, 24, 345-361. Moore, K.A., & Emig, C. (2014). Integrated student supports: A summary of the evidence base for policymakers. Retrieved from: <http://www.childtrends.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/2014-05ISSWhitePaper1.pdf>; Elder, G. H. (1998). The life course as developmental theory. *Child development*, 69(1), 1-12.; Shonkoff, J.P., & Phillips, D., A. (2000). From neurons to neighborhoods: The science of early childhood development. National Academy of Sciences. Washington DC, USA.; Walsh, M. E., Theodorakakis, M. D., Backe, S. (2016). Redesigning a Core Function of Schools: A Systematic, Evidence-Based Approach to Student Support. In H. A. Lawson & D. Van Veen (Eds.), *Developing Community Schools, Community Learning Centers, Extended service Schools and Multi-service Schools: International Exemplars for Practice, Policy, and Research* (pp. 127-147). The Hague, NL: Springer International.

¹³ Dearing, E., Walsh, M. E., Sibley, E., Lee-St John, T., Foley, C., & Raczek, A. E. (2016). Can Community and School-Based Supports Improve the Achievement of First-Generation Immigrant Children Attending High-Poverty Schools? *Child Development*; Walsh, M. E., Madaus, G. F., Raczek, A. E., Dearing, E., Foley, C., An, C., ... & Beaton, A. (2014). A New Model for Student Support in High-Poverty Urban Elementary Schools Effects on Elementary and Middle School Academic Outcomes. *American Educational Research Journal*, 51(4), 704-737. doi: 10.3102/0002831214541669

¹⁴ City Connects (2014). The impact of City Connects: Progress report 2014. Retrieved from: http://www.bc.edu/content/dam/files/schools/lsoe/cityconnects/pdf/CityConnects_ProgressReport_2014.pdf

¹⁵ Ibid.

¹⁶ Ibid.; Dearing, E., Walsh, M. E., Sibley, E., Lee-St John, T., Foley, C., & Raczek, A. E. (2016). Can Community and School-Based Supports Improve the Achievement of First-Generation Immigrant Children Attending High-Poverty Schools? *Child Development*.

¹⁷ Bowden, A.B., Belfield, C.R., Levin, H.M., Shand, R., Wang, A. & Morales, M. (2015). A benefit-cost analysis of City Connects. Center for Benefit-Cost Studies of Education, Teachers College, Columbia University. Available: cbce.org

¹⁸ City Connects (2014). The impact of City Connects: Progress report 2014. Retrieved from: http://www.bc.edu/content/dam/files/schools/lsoe/cityconnects/pdf/CityConnects_ProgressReport_2014.pdf; Dearing, E., Walsh, M. E., Sibley, E., Lee-St John, T., Foley, C., & Raczek, A. E. (2016). Can Community and School-Based Supports Improve the Achievement of First-Generation Immigrant Children Attending High-Poverty Schools? *Child Development*; Shields, K. A., Walsh, M. E., & Lee-St John, T. J. (2016). The Relationship of a Systemic Student Support Intervention to Academic Achievement in Urban Catholic Schools. *Journal of Catholic Education*, 19(3), 116.; Walsh, M. E., Madaus, G. F., Raczek, A. E., Dearing, E., Foley, C., An, C., ... & Beaton, A. (2014). A New Model for Student Support in High-Poverty Urban Elementary Schools Effects on Elementary and Middle School Academic Outcomes. *American Educational Research Journal*, 51(4), 704-737. doi: 10.3102/0002831214541669

Mary E. Walsh, Ph.D.

Executive Director, Kearns Professor of Urban Education & Innovative Leadership
LYNCH SCHOOL OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT



BOSTON COLLEGE
Lynch School of Education
and Human Development
MARY E. WALSH CENTER
FOR THRIVING CHILDREN

*The Center for Thriving Children advances science, implementation,
and innovation to promote healthy child and youth development,
learning, and thriving.*