The Advocate, June 20, 2000
"A Vermont mother roars - mother of gay child speaks out against homophobia"
by Charles Kaiser

"One of the reasons that Vermont became the first state to approve civil unions for gays and lesbians must surely be the presence of splendid citizens like Sharon Underwood of White River Junction. As the single mother of a gay son and two other children, Underwood had kept silent for years in the face of the "standard gay bashing" she heard whenever she went out with friends.

Even her coworkers at the housing manufacturing company where she works didn't know she had a gay child. But in April the anger that had been building up for more than two decades finally burst out of her when she wrote a brilliant 1,000-word polemic for her local paper, Valley News, in West Lebanon, N.H. The 50-year-old computer programmer had never written anything professionally before, but she suddenly discovered the amazing power of her own words."

This is the full text of the letter (not given in the Advocate article):

"Many letters have been sent to the Valley News concerning the homosexual menace in Vermont. I am the mother of a gay son and I've taken enough from you good people. I'm tired of your foolish rhetoric about the 'homosexual agenda' and your allegations that accepting homosexuality is the same thing as advocating sex with children. You are cruel and ignorant. You have been robbing me of the joys of motherhood ever since my children were tiny.

My firstborn son started suffering at the hands of the moral little thugs from your moral, upright families from the time he was in the first grade. He was physically and verbally abused from first grade straight through high school because he was perceived to be gay.

He never professed to be gay or had any association with anything gay but he had the misfortune not to walk or have gestures like the other boys. He was called 'fag' incessantly, starting when he was 6.

In high school, while your children were doing what kids that age should be doing, mine labored over a suicide note, drafting and redrafting it to be sure his family knew how much he loved them. My sobbing 17-year-old tore the heart out of me as he choked out that he just couldn't bear to continue living any longer, that he didn't want to be gay and that he couldn't face a life without dignity.

You have the audacity to talk about protecting families and children from the homosexual menace, while you yourselves tear apart families and drive children to despair. I don't know why my son is gay, but I do know that God didn't put him, and
millions like him, on this Earth to give you someone to abuse. God gave you brains
so that you could think, and it's about time you started doing that.

At the core of all your misguided beliefs is the belief that this could never happen to
you, that there is some kind of subculture out there that people have chosen to join.
The fact is that if it can happen to my family, it can happen to yours, and you won't
get to choose. Whether it is genetic or whether something occurs during a critical
time of fetal development, I don't know. I can only tell you with an absolute
certainty that it is inborn.

If you want to tout your own morality, you'd best come up with something more
substantive than your heterosexuality. You did nothing to earn it; it was given to
you. If you disagree, I would be interested in hearing your story, because my own
heterosexuality was a blessing I received with no effort whatsoever on my part. It is
so woven into the very soul of me that nothing could ever change it. For those of
you who reduce sexual orientation to a simple choice, a character issue, a bad habit
or something that can be changed by a 10-step program, I'm puzzled. Are you
saying that your own sexual orientation is nothing more than something you have
chosen, that you could change it at will? If that's not the case, then why would you
suggest that someone else can?

A popular theme in your letters is that Vermont has been infiltrated by outsiders.
Both sides of my family have lived in Vermont for generations. I am heart and soul
a Vermonter, so I'll thank you to stop saying that you are speaking for 'true
Vermonters.'

You invoke the memory of the brave people who have fought on the battlefield for
this great country, saying that they didn't give their lives so that the 'homosexual
agenda' could tear down the principles they died defending. My 83-year-old father
fought in some of the most horrific battles of World War II, was wounded and
awarded the Purple Heart. He shakes his head in sadness at the life his grandson has
had to live. He says he fought alongside homosexuals in those battles, that they did
their part and bothered no one. One of his best friends in the service was gay, and
he never knew it until the end, and when he did find out, it mattered not at all. That
wasn't the measure of the man.

You religious folk just can't bear the thought that as my son emerges from the hell
that was his childhood he might like to find a lifelong companion and have a
measure of happiness. It offends your sensibilities that he should request the right to
visit that companion in the hospital, to make medical decisions for him or to benefit
from tax laws governing inheritance.

How dare he? you say. These outrageous requests would threaten the very existence
of your family, would undermine the sanctity of marriage. You use religion to
abdicate your responsibility to be thinking human beings. There are vast numbers of
religious people who find your attitudes repugnant. God is not for the privileged
majority, and God knows my son has committed no sin.

The deep-thinking author of a letter to the April 12 Valley News who lectures about homosexual sin and tells us about "those of us who have been blessed with the benefits of a religious upbringing" asks: 'What ever happened to the idea of striving . . . to be better human beings than we are?' Indeed, sir, what ever happened to that?"