

Up and Coming:
“Legal” Activities for the Fall of 2002
BLA “Kick-Off” Week

BLA Open House:

Tuesday, September 17th
Gasson 100 7:00pm-9:30pm

Movie Night:

Friday, September 20th—6:00pm-8:30pm*

* location TBA at Open House and Student Activities Day

BLA Executive Meeting:

Tuesday, September 24th
Gasson 305 6:30pm

LSAT Practice Tests:

8:00pm-10:30pm in Gasson 205

In preparation for **Saturday, October 5th**

Thurs. 9/12 * Thurs. 9/19* Wed. 9/25 *

Tues. 10/1 * Thurs. 10/3

LSAC Law School Forum

Saturday, November 2nd

10am-4pm

MARRIOTT COPLEY PLACE

**In preparation...
LSAT question of the Issue**

Analytical Reasoning Question*

Directions: The following question is based on a set of conditions. In answering the question, it may be useful to draw a rough diagram. Choose the response that most accurately and completely answers the question and mark the corresponding oval.

A housing committee will consist of exactly five representatives, one of whom will be its chair person. The representatives will be selected from among a group of five ten-

ants—F, G, J, K, and M—and a group of four homeowners—P, Q, R, and S. The following conditions must be met:

The committee must include at least two representatives from each group. The chairperson must be a representative belonging to the group from which exactly two representatives are selected. If F is selected, Q must be selected. If G is selected, K must be selected. If either J or M is selected, the other must also be selected. M and P cannot both be selected.

If F is selected, any one of the following people could be the chairperson of the committee EXCEPT:

- A) G
- B) K
- C) P
- D) Q
- E) S

*LSAC.org

2002 Law School Admission Council, Inc.

Answer can be found on page 5

Welcome back,
readers!

MOCK TRIAL

As part of the Bellarmine Law Academy, BC sponsors four Mock Trial teams that compete at various regional tournaments in the Northeast. This past year, all four of our teams did wonderfully at Regionals, and one of our four teams qualified to go to the National Tournament in Minnesota. Every September, the American Mock Trial Association publishes a case that contains witness affidavits and evidence. Teams prepare the case and come up with strategies that will help the defense and plaintiff sides, and then compete at Regional competitions during February.

Being part of the Mock Trial team is a fun and enjoyable experience, and it is a lot of work, but the reward is invaluable. As Mock Trial coordinator this year, I'm looking forward to working with our returning members and new members. We want to continue the success of the BC Mock

Trial program and continue to compete as one of the best teams in the country. Spots on the four teams will be available for students who haven't made the team yet, giving them the chance to be part of this amazing program. You don't need to have any previous Mock Trial experience to be part of team, and you don't have to want to be a lawyer. Mock Trial is a combination of acting and debating, so there's a position for everyone on the team. If you are interested in Mock Trial and want to know more, or want to talk to me about it, please don't hesitate to email me (yaziciog@bc.edu). Look for our table at the Student Activities fair for more information about try-outs and informational meetings. I hope to hear from you!

Hale Yazicioglu



As new officer in the BLA and the Pre-Law Review editor, I want to welcome all members back to the BLA and to BC! I hope everyone had a relaxing summer break and is excited to start another great year!

I am currently a junior and an English major in the school of Arts and Sciences. I am excited and privileged to have been chosen as the BLA Pre-Law Review Editor for the 2002-2003 school year. I hope that I can live up to the excellent example that Rich Perry has set. We will miss him as he has been valuable to both myself and the Law Academy. THANK YOU Rich and GOOD LUCK!

I would like to use this opportunity to invite all current BLA members to share with the BLA any "law related" summer activities, courses or visits. Recounts of law school visits and current law related BC course suggestions are always welcome.

If anything "law related" sparks your interest PLEASE share it! THANK YOU and I look forward to a great year!

**BC
COURSES
FOR
SKILLS
USEFUL
IN LAW
SCHOOL**

L 264 Logic F/S	MJ 152 Labor and Employment Law F/S
CL 010 Elementary Latin F/S	MJ 154 Insurance F/S
EN 412 Prose Writing F/S	MJ 156 Real Estate F/S
MA 021 Financial Accounting F/S	MJ 161 Corporate and S.E.C. Law F/S
MA 022 Managerial Accounting F/S	PO 321 American Constitutional Law F
MF 021 Basic Finance F/S	PO 376 Seminar: Current Constitutional Issues F
CO 030 Public Speaking F/S	CO 443 Ethical Consideration of the Mass Media F/S
CO 105 Debate F	SC 423 Internships in Criminology II S
CO 375 Argumentation Theory F	

substantive areas of law and legal reasoning

courses about law and the legal system

MA 405 Federal Taxation F/S	EC 338 Law and Economics F
MJ 021 Law I - Introduction to Law and Legal Process F/S	EC 353 Industrial Organization: Competition and Antitrust S
MJ 022 Law II - Business Law F/S	EC 354 Industrial Organization: Public Regulation F
MJ 148 International Law F/S	PL 449 Corporations and Morality F/S
SC 030 Deviance and Social Control F/S	PL 538 Law, Business and Society F

* compiled by the Boston College Career Center

Explanation*

In essence the test taker must determine which of the representatives cannot be chairperson if F is selected.

The second condition states that the chairperson must be a representative belonging to the group from which exactly two representatives are selected. If F is selected, Q must also be selected (by the third condition). If G chaired the committee, as response (A) would have it, K would also have to be a member of the committee (condition 4), but that would mean that the committee had three tenants (F, G, and K), so none of them could be the chairperson. Thus G cannot be the chairperson, making (A) the correct response.

K could be the chairperson if the committee were composed of F, K, P, Q, and S, since F and K are the only tenants on the committee. Since the conditions allow the committee to be composed of these individuals, (B) is incorrect.

Either P or Q could be chairperson of a committee composed of F, G, K, P, and Q, since P and Q would be the only homeowners on the committee. A quick look at the conditions will verify that the committee could be so composed. Thus both (C) and (D) are incorrect.

Finally, S could chair the committee if it were composed of F, G, K, Q, and S, again, because S would belong to the group with exactly two representatives. Since the committee can be so composed, option (E) is also incorrect.

*www.LSAC.org

